

SINGLE STAIR RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS BC HOUSING BUILDING EXCELLENCE RESEARCH & EDUCATION GRANT



CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	3
INTRODUCTION	4
APARTMENT BUILDING TYPOLOGIES	
SITE DIMENSIONS OF METRO VANCOUVER AREA	
· INTERNATIONAL CONTEXT	
POINT ACCESS BLOCK BENEFITS	
BUILDING CODE ANALYSIS	18
EXISTING CODE CONTEXT	
 NBC CODE CHANGE REQUEST 2022 	
· GHL CODE REVIEW	
FUTURE STUDIES	
DESIGN INVESTIGATIONS	24
50FT LOT ON COMMERCIAL STREET	
• TWO 33FT LOTS WITHIN MIXED RESIDENTIAL AREA	
FIVE COMBINED 33FT LOTS WITHIN MIXED RESIDENTIAL AREA	
CONCLUSIONS	40
APPENDIX A: GHL REVIEW LETTER	
APPENDIX B: RTA COST ANALYSIS	

** Point access blocks are the fundamental building block of cities the world over—whether in new construction or existing. That finegrained nature of cities that urbanists, planners, and politicians claim to love, but it is effectively illegal under our building codes. ** -MICHAEL ELIASON, LARCH LAB

THE MATERIAL PROVIDED IN THIS GUIDE IS FOR INFORMATION AND SUGGESTION ONLY. THE GREATEST CARE HAS BEEN TAKEN TO CONFIRM THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN; HOWEVER, THE AUTHORS, FUNDERS, PUBLISHER, AND OTHER CONTRIBUTORS ASSUME NO LIABILITY FOR ANY DAMAGE, INJURY, LOSS, OR EXPENSE THAT MAY BE INCURRED OR SUFFERED AS A RESULT OF THE USE OF THIS GUIDE, INCLUDING PRODUCTS, BUILDING TECHNIQUES, OR PRACTICES. THE VIEWS EXPRESSED HEREIN DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT THOSE OF ANY INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTOR OR PARTNER AGENCY.

PHOTOGRAPHS ON COVER AND PAGES 5 AND 41 BY ANDREW LATREILLE.

© PUBLIC ARCHITECTURE 2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was commissioned and principally funded under BC Housing's Building Excellence Research & Education Grants and by the City of Vancouver, and was prepared by Public Architecture.

In addition, this research was funded by the CMHC-SSHRCfunded Balanced Supply of Housing Node based at UBC's Housing Research Collaborative.

Acknowledgement is extended to all who participated in this project as part of the project team or as external reviewers.

In addition, the project team would like to acknowledge the work undertaken by the following people to advance understanding of the issues described in this report and to advocate for measured reform of the second egress requirement for low-rise buildings in North America.

Conrad Speckert, LGA Architectural Partners David Hine, David Hine Engineering Inc. Michael Eliason, Larch Lab Nik Luka, Associate Professor, McGill University School of Architecture

PROJECT TEAM





partners

RTA as

CITY OF

FUNDING PARTNERS















JCOUVFR



Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada

Conseil de recherches en sciences humaines du Canada



INTRODUCTION

Current building code requires two staircases in residential buildings above two storeys. Single-staircase solutions exist that will address safety issues, enhance livability, and create more homes. This comparative study presents alternatives for typical Metro Vancouver scenarios.

When thinking about the challenge of providing enough housing to meet the growing needs of Canadian cities and towns, an examination of the number of staircases in an apartment building may seem like a peripheral or even irrelevant issue. However, regulations often shape our built environment in subtle but powerful ways and the building code is no different. As we will see, Canada is an outlier with one of the strictest limitations on small apartment buildings in the world which has led to some missing tools in the housing toolbox.

The primary purpose of this study is to explore current and possible building typologies, discussing the pressing challenges of housing affordability, limited housing options, and the notable scarcity of developable land in urban environments, with a specific focus on the Metro Vancouver area. The phenomenon of the 'Missing Middle' in Vancouver, which signifies a gap in affordable and diverse housing options for middle-income households, especially in densely populated urban neighborhoods, underscores the urgency of this exploration.

In urban areas like Vancouver, where available land for development is increasingly scarce, there is a critical need to optimize the use of existing spaces. The proposed amendments to the National Building Code, which consider allowing multi-unit residential buildings with single egress up to six storeys, represent a strategic endeavor to address these challenges. This initiative aims to expand the housing market by introducing a broader spectrum of housing typologies while enhancing affordability and accessibility. Significantly, these proposed changes hold particular importance for housing associations and developers working with constrained budgets. By offering the possibility to build efficiently within a limited footprint, these changes to building code can lead to more economical construction projects. This is especially vital in creating affordable housing solutions for a diverse range of residents, contributing to the formation of more inclusive and vibrant neighborhoods.

Moreover, this study intends to facilitate the creation of more diverse neighborhoods. By introducing varied residential building types, it can foster communities that blend different income groups, cultural backgrounds, and lifestyles, thereby enriching the social fabric of the city. The potential for increased density without compromising safety and livability offers a promising avenue to meet the growing demand for housing in urban centers.

Through investigating and proposing these building code changes, the study aims to deliver impactful solutions that address the intertwined issues of housing scarcity, affordability, and diversity in urban areas like Vancouver. The goal is to pave the way for more sustainable, inclusive, and vibrant urban communities, effectively responding to the evolving needs and challenges of urban living.



The main types of apartment buildings—largely determined by fire safety concerns expressed in building code—are the double-loaded corridor, single-loaded corridor, point tower, and point-access block.

APARTMENT BUILDING TYPOLOGIES

Different typologies for apartment buildings are largely a function of circulation—how one moves through the building from the street to the apartment door. Fire safety concerns, expressed in the building codes, play a strong role in shaping the types of residential buildings we see in Canadian cities today.

DOUBLE-LOADED CORRIDOR

In the mid-rise scale (4–6 storeys), apartment buildings designed around an interior corridor represent the most common contemporary type in North America. They are characterized by long internal corridors with exit stairs located at each end to comply with existing building code requirements for two exit paths from every floor area. Apartments are located on both sides of the corridor hence the term 'double-loaded'.

This configuration is an efficient means of complying with current building codes and often leads to floor plan efficiencies in the 85% to 88% range, particularly for larger floor plans. However, providing two exit stairs becomes increasingly inefficient when looking at smaller lots, so double-loaded corridor type apartment buildings are often best suited to larger sites rather than infill sites in more dense urban areas.

A double-loaded corridor type building with a simple layout can achieve a compact overall form which reduces heat loss through the envelope and promotes energy efficiency in a historically heating-dominated climate like British Columbia. However, this building type has a number of limitations from social and environmental perspectives.

Building depth is typically in the range of 18 to 24 metres which can limit the space available on the site for other valuable functions such as green space or common outdoor space for residents. When developed in proximity to high-traffic streets many units will not have openable windows or balconies that are protected from traffic noise, since most apartments are single-aspect (one exterior face).

Opportunities for natural ventilation are often very limited since the majority of apartments will have windows on only one side, although corner units may benefit from some degree of cross ventilation. Similarly, access to natural light is often compromised, both within the apartments themselves as well as the internal corridor which commonly has no windows and relies solely on artificial light. Summer overheating is also a risk for some units, particularly those that face only south or west and are exposed to significant solar gain without the ability to flush out hot air at night time through cross ventilation.

A further challenge exists in the double-loaded corridor typology concerning social interactions and the quality of shared spaces. Without very careful design, the internal corridors in such buildings typically lack both the space and a welcoming quality that could support casual interaction between residents. Often these corridors become purely transient spaces rather than an opportunity for valuable social mixing between neighbours.

SINGLE-LOADED CORRIDOR

An alternative to the double-loaded corridor typology is the single-loaded corridor, where apartments are located on just one side of a linear corridor - usually in the form of an exterior walkway. This typology is compatible with current building codes in Canada as long as two exit stairs are provided from the walkway. Some buildings in this typology are arranged around a central courtyard where the walkways may form a continuous loop.



Single-loaded or courtyard type projects are typically less efficient than double-loaded corridors—often less than 85% and may have a less compact form factor with a greater area of exterior wall surface. The benefits of this typology include the ability for cross ventilation and daylight from two sides since apartments can have windows facing the walkway and on the opposite side. The external walkway can serve as a more activated social space where neighbours can greet each other in a naturally lit space with views to common outdoor space. The ability to provide two sides of each apartment with windows promotes greater design flexibility than the double-loaded corridor, particularly when incorporating larger units (two-bedroom and larger).

Practical design challenges with single-loaded or courtyard type projects may include maintaining privacy for rooms facing the walkways from passing neighbours, or dealing with snow accumulations in regions with frequent or heavy snowfall.

POINT TOWER

The point tower is a common high-rise residential building type which, in Canada, typically meets the exit requirements of the building code by providing a 'scissor stair' where two separate exit stairs are interlocked as they descend but still maintain smoke and fire separation. A relatively compact core, which includes the stairs, elevators, and corridor, is created at the centre of the floor plan with apartments occupying most or all of the available perimeter.

Point towers are often designed with a broader podium base containing commercial uses or ground-oriented residential units like townhomes, as a way to reconcile the tower form above with the street-level urban grain. Scissor stairs are prohibited in mid-rise, wood-frame construction by the Vancouver Building Bylaw so they are typically found in concrete apartment buildings of seven storeys or more.

POINT ACCESS BLOCK

The point access block is a single-stair typology that can be found in one form or another in most cities around the world. The floor plan of a point access block is typically a small number of apartments (e.g. two to four units) arranged around a single stair, with or without an elevator. Rows of point access blocks can be joined together to form a continuous street wall or a single building can be developed as a standalone block, so they represent a scaleable form of development that is suited to incremental development.

The common space can be limited to just the stairway and elevator so point access blocks are capable of delivering floor area efficiency above 90% and can maximize the amount of apartment space in the floor plan. Longstanding building code restrictions on single-exit stair buildings mean that very few postwar examples can be found in Canada or much of the United States (with some notable exceptions).

The daylight, natural ventilation and design flexibility benefits noted for single-loaded corridor type buildings can also be realized in point access blocks, without some of the potential privacy and weather exposure challenges. Further, point access block benefits are discussed later in this document. DOUBLE-LOADED CORRIDOR





SINGLE-LOADED CORRIDOR



POINT TOWER



POINT ACCESS BLOCK









SITE DIMENSIONS OF METRO VANCOUVER

The surveying methods used to claim and map the 19th– and early 20th–century property divisions of British Columbia have left a lasting legacy on the urban fabric of Lower Mainland cities. Metal chainsets composed of 100 links were the standard instrument for laying out property divisions at the time. A Gunter's Chain (Edmund Gunter, 1581–1626) was the most common type of chain in use at the time and measured 66ft (22 yards) in length.

In Vancouver, streets were laid out as either one or oneand-a-half chains wide (66ft or 99ft), depending on their proposed use or importance. Blocks were laid out as four chains wide and 6 to 8 chains long. A 20ft wide laneways was then added within the block. Individual properties would have one chain of frontage (66ft) but were commonly divided in two to create 33ft wide properties. This process created the most common 33ft x 122ft residential lots found in the City of Vancouver.

An exception to this pattern is lands owned and developed by the Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR). CPR lands were surveyed using a 100ft long Ramsden's Chain, creating a different framework for development. Usually, these properties were then subdivided into 50ft frontages.

The use of standardized methods of surveying creates a repeating and consistent grid but different subdivisions of properties lead to a range of lot widths from 25 to 50 feet. Lot depths are typically over 100 feet which creates individual lots which are often narrow but deep. Today, re-assembling a significant number of small existing lots is often required in order to develop an apartment building under the existing building code and planning regulations.

1. How Metro Vancouver was Laid Out around Gunter's Chain – Global Civic. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AgHVnpv9oJY



GUNTER'S CHAIN



METRO VANCOUVER PLAN 66'

IMAGE OPPOSITE: MILLER, JJ. (1909).CARTOGRAPHIC MATERIAL. RETRIEVED FROM HTTPS://SEARCHARCHIVES.VANCOUVER.CA/REVISED-LIST-OF-REMAINING-50-LOTS-IN-SUBDIVISION-OF-SOUTH-HALF-OF-SECTION-35-HASTINGS-TOWNSITE-2



Point access blocks—not currently permitted by Canadian building code—are one of the most prevalent residential building types in cities around the world.

INTERNATIONAL CONTEXT

Point access blocks with a single staircase are a prevalent way of designing multi-unit residential buildings around the world. The mid-rise urbanism of cities like Berlin, Paris and Barcelona is characterized by entire city blocks of several single stair buildings arranged in courtyard configurations.

Building code requirements and firefighting practices vary significantly around the world, and so does each jurisdiction's attitude to the use of a single-exit stair. With regard to the permitted building height of a singleexit-stair building, Canada is something of an outlier amongst developed nations, with a maximum limit of two storeys in all cases. In terms of apartment-building design this height limitation is, in practical terms, a total prohibition on point access blocks which steers developers and design professionals towards other building typologies discussed earlier.

A jurisdictional scan of more than 30 building codes of other countries shows that Canada is, with the exception of Uganda, the most restrictive country as a measure of the maximum building height with a single staircase¹.

Switzerland and South Korea do not specify any maximum building height, instead placing limits on the floor area, travel distance, number of dwellings and number of occupants served by the single stair. Many jurisdictions also require additional fire suppression and smoke control measures to protect the integrity of the single exit in highrise buildings. Germany allows for both office and multiunit residential buildings of up to 22 metres (7 storeys) in height to be served by a single stair, with additional fire safety measures increasing the maximum height to 60 metres (20 storeys). Australia and New Zealand allow one exit for apartment buildings up to 25 metres in height (8 storeys) where fire sprinklers are provided and up to 10 metres in height without sprinklers. Until 2023, the UK did not establish a maximum height for residential buildings with a single staircase and relied on a "stay-put" evacuation strategy. Following the Grenfell Tower high-rise fire, the UK government consulted on a maximum height of 30 metres for single stair buildings, superseded by the recent announcement of 18 metres. This will make the United Kingdom significantly more restrictive than most of mainland Europe.

Across the United States, single-stair apartment buildings are permitted up to three storeys in height with a maximum of four dwellings per storey. The National Fire Protection Association's model code also allows up to four storeys and the State of Hawaii, New York City and City of Seattle allow up to six storeys.

Despite the widely differing code requirements for singleexit-stair buildings between all these countries we can observe that it is generally not a case of a differing attitude to fire risk or a case of countries with lower height limits for single-stair apartment buildings being associated with the best fire safety outcomes. Many of the countries which permit single-stair apartment buildings over ten storeys have equivalent, or better, mortality rates from fire than that of Canada and the United States².

The architectural journals of the world are brimming with compact and elegant mid-rise apartment buildings in vibrant urban areas which, unfortunately, have floorplans that are illegal to build anywhere in Canada regardless of any mitigating safety features that could be applied.

^{1.} Speckert, C. (2023). Jurisdictions. https://secondegress.ca/Jurisdictions 2. Our World In Data (2019). Death rate from fires and burns, 2019. https:// ourworldindata.org/grapher/fire-death-rates

				No maximum building height specified 84m	
				United Kingdom ex	Scotland,
			•	-80m Italy	
				······›	
5 storeys				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Grenfell Tower 24 storeys		\square		1	
		\square			
) storeys				- 60m Germany.	60m
				Singapore	
		\square			
				18 storeys China	
			-	50m France	49m
				16 storeys Sweden	40111
5 storeys				45m Denmark	
		\square			
					36m
				/	30m United Kingdom
		\square		- 32m Austria	(December 2022 consultati
0 storeys			¢		1
			-	- 29m Israel - 28m Spain	
				/ / / Delaium Balanu	A Norway (9 atoraya)
Typical Quint Aerial ———				Australia, New Zeala	nd (sprinklered)
75ft (22m)					Jnited Kingdom
ode Change	7			(annou	inced in July 2023)
Part 3 - 6 storeys		\square		6 storeys Seattle, Ne Kenva, Iran, Hong K	w York City, Hawaii
storeys				- 5 storeys Japan, Net	nerlands (FFL 12.5m);
Hannood A			-	India (15m), United A	rab Emirates (15m) 12m
code Change Extension Ladder —			_	- 4 storeys NFPA 101	
art 9 - 3 storeys	- 1			-3 storeys United Stat	es (IBC), South Africa
				-2 storevs Canada	
A					
				1 storey Uganda	

IMAGE: SPECKERT, C. (2022). JURISDICTIONS: MAXIMUM PERMITTED HEIGHT FOR SINGLE STAIR BUILDINGS [INFOGRAPHIC]. MCGILL SCHOOL OF ARCHITECTURE. RETRIEVED FROM HTTPS://SECONDEGRESS.CA/JURISDICTIONS

NORTH AMERICAN POLICY IS CHANGING

In the United States, the International Building Code (IBC) is the model building code on which local codes are based. The IBC is marginally more relaxed about single-exit stair apartment buildings in that it allows three storeys to be served by a single stair¹. However, several jurisdictions in the US have already adopted, or are planning to adopt, local changes to their building codes to facilitate the greater use of point access blocks as a housing type in their towns and cities.

At the city level, New York and Seattle both have existing provisions for six-storey, single-stair apartment buildings. In the case of Seattle, this policy has been in place since 1977. Specifically, it allows six-storeys with a maximum of four apartments per floor to be served by a single stair and requires that the stair be pressurized and separated from a corridor in which the suite entry doors are located².

In 2018, the State of Hawaii added permissions for singlestair residential buildings up to six storeys in height³

2023 has seen significant movements towards the adoption of code reform on the West Coast with state-level policies emerging in Washington, Oregon, and California.

In Washington state, the legislature passed a bill to recommend modifications to the International Building Code (IBC) which would allow single-exit stair residential buildings up to six storeys in height⁴.

In Oregon's state senate, an amendment to their affordable housing bill was brought forward in 2023 which proposes updated, local, building codes that allow singlestair residential buildings up to six storeys in height with requirements that closely align with Seattle's existing single stair code⁵.

In California, the state assembly passed a bill in 2023 to direct the State Fire Marshall to research standards for single-stairway apartment buildings greater than three storeys and to report on fire and life safety considerations⁶.



- International Code Council. (2021) Chapter 10 Means of Egress. Retrieved from https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/IBC2021P2/chapter-10means-of-egress#IBC2021P2_Ch10_Sec1006.3.4.
- 2. Speckert, C. (2023). Seattle Building codes Second Egress. Retrieved from https://secondegress.ca/
- 3. Hawaii State Building Code (2018). Retrieved from https://codelibrary.amlegal.com/codes/honolulu/latest/ honolulu/0-0-0-14009?fbclid=lwAR24kHU1ZsmJN_ mXmTt1eNYWd1y3Y7f6DLJ2Jm565sR2ALXygJI6MMB5pXc
- 4. Washington State Legislature Bill Summary for Bill Number 5491 (2023). Retrieved from https://app.leg.wa.gov/ billsummary?BillNumber=5491&Year=2023
- Oregon Legislative Information System Proposed Amendments for SB847 (2023). Retrieved from https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/liz/2023R1/ Measures/ProposedAmendments/SB847.
- 6. California Legislative Information Bill Information for AB 835 (2023-2024 Regular Session). Retrieved from https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/ billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=202320240AB835

IMAGE OPPOSITE:13TH AVENUE APARTMENTS, 1821 13 AVENUE, CAPITOL HILL, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON | COMPLETED IN 2023 | B9 ARCHITECTS



POINT ACCESS BLOCK BENEFITS

DEVELOPMENT

The point access block is one of the most-spatially efficient forms for developing high quality urban housing. The common areas (stair, elevator) can occupy as little as 6% of the floor area, leaving the remainder as saleable/rentable floor area. This efficiency is not achieved at the expense of design quality but simply a result of the single-stair and compact circulation.

Point access blocks can provide an opportunity to develop high quality apartments while also efficiently utilizing the site area. Significant design flexibility is afforded by not needing to bisect the floor plan with a corridor to a second stair, and larger apartments can be accommodated in the floor plan without enlarging the common circulation space. The reduced building depth of a point access block (perhaps 12m compared to 18m+ for a double-loaded corridor type) and the ability to bring in light and air from both sides an apartment make it easier to plan space-efficient yet highquality, family units with two, three, or more bedrooms¹. Most Canadian cities are struggling to build enough housing and are particularly lacking in appropriate family housing.

Mid-rise apartment buildings with two exit stairs are at their most efficient on relatively large sites. In the context of Metro Vancouver this usually requires the developer to combine four or more adjacent lots before design can proceed. The consolidation process can take significant time and impose upfront cost and risk on the project. Point access blocks, with their compact circulation cores, can be applied equally efficiently on a single lot or connected in a row so they offer an incremental or scaleable form of development rather than the all-or-nothing strategy needed by other typologies.

Single point access blocks can be created as infill projects on individual residential or commercial lots and can 'unlock' significant numbers of properties that are currently deemed too small or constrained for new development under the code requirements for two exit stairs.

LIVABILITY

Delivering high quality urban housing requires that more units of housing be provided in existing communities close to jobs and services and with sufficient density to support these activities and to prevent sprawl. The challenge of designing and constructing housing in urban areas involves recognizing and addressing the needs of residents in terms of space, comfort, light, air, privacy, and accessibility. The point access block can be a useful tool for providing apartments that meet these needs.

Providing respite from urban noise is very challenging for many new apartment buildings as they tend to be located on or near high traffic streets. A single-stair building typology creates a broad range of options for apartment layouts,



including dual-aspect units which may have their living space facing a street but bedrooms facing a quieter interior portion of the city block.

In terms of accessibility, point access blocks can offer reduced travel distances from apartments to the elevator and the greater design flexibility could be leveraged to incorporate accessible unit layouts.

Social isolation is a significant problem in many cities and many of our apartment buildings lack strong connections between neighbors. Point access blocks generally have between two and four apartments on each floor. This smaller scale of development could help to foster personal relationships between immediate neighbors. Whereas, the double-loaded corridor building type sometimes occupies a whole block with a single entry door serving a large number of apartments, point access blocks offer an alternative scale of development that forms a greater number of smaller neighbor groups. Think of the large electronic resident directory at the front door of a modern point tower with dozens of names compared to the small printed nameplates of apartment buildings in older cities.

CLIMATE

The reduced building depth and possibility for dual-aspect apartments can also offer improved access to daylight, thereby reducing reliance on artificial light, and improved summer comfort by providing natural cross ventilation to apartments. Housing of this type can be better adapted to our warming climate by reducing reliance on mechanical systems and the electrical grid in favour of a more resilient, passive-first approach. European cities with a prevalence of point access blocks often have significant green space and urban tree canopy within the city block which is made easier with slimmer apartment buildings occupying less of the site area.

URBANISM, STREETS, AND NEIGHBOURHOODS

The prevailing types of apartment buildings in Metro Vancouver (six-storey double-loaded corridor, singleloaded corridor, point tower) are often created with significant consolidation of existing small lots. This can mean the replacement of most of the block and sometimes the displacement of existing businesses, often after a prolonged period of vacancy while City approvals are processed.

The Vancouver Plan identifies numerous 'Neighborhood Centres' across the City which are often characterized by much-loved low rise commercial storefronts establishing a fine urban grain. Developing new housing in these areas using existing typologies has the potential for significant disruption of vibrant urban centres. The smaller footprint of a point access block can be inserted as an infill project within an existing city block without the need for consolidation of numerous sites. New housing can then be introduced incrementally over time when sites are developed one or two at a time, as an alternative to wholesale redevelopment of the block.

- 1. Smith, Stephen (3 May 2023). Why we can't build family-sized apartments in North America (https://www.centerforbuilding.org/blog/we-we-cantbuild-family-sized-apartments-in-north-america). Center for Building in North America.
- 2. Vancouver Plan (23 Sep 2022). https://vancouverplan.ca/. City of Vancouver.



INFILL AND INCREMENTAL DEVELOPMENT

BUILDING CODE ANALYSIS

EXISTING CODE CONTEXT

The National Building Code of Canada is a model code on which local codes such as the BC Building Code and Vancouver Building Bylaw are based. The first edition of the NBC in 1941 permitted up to three storeys of noncombustible construction to be served by a single exit, which was later decreased to two storeys with a reduced floor area permitted.

For residential buildings in Canada, Part 3 of the Building Code sets the life-safety requirements for buildings over three storeys or more than 600 m² in building area (essentially the footprint area of a building). Specifically, Article 3.4.2.1 contains the text that sets the two-storey limit for a residential building having a single-exit stair.

The requirement for two exits has remained in subsequent versions of the NBC right up to the current 2020 edition. During the intervening years significant improvements to building fire safety have been introduced to the code, such as widespread use of sprinklers in residential buildings, improved fire alarm systems and improvements to fire-resistive materials. Nevertheless, the requirement for two exits for buildings over two storeys high has remained largely unchanged.

FIRE IN A SINGLE-STAIR BUILDING

When a fire is detected in an apartment building (either automatically or by a resident activating a pull-station) and the central, fire alarm sounds, residents make their way from their apartment, through the corridor, down the exit stairs and to a predetermined assembly area, often in the street. This sequence is generally the same regardless of apartment building type. Virtually all apartment buildings in Canada will have automatic sprinkler protection, where sprinklers in a suite are activated by the heat of a fire. The sprinklers then act to suppress the fire and prevent its spread.

Residents with impaired mobility may be unable to use the exit stairs and typically require the assistance of the fire department in order to exit the building—elevators being typically not intended for evacuation of residents. If the fire is located elsewhere in the building, then their own suite is deemed to be a safe area of refuge where they can await assistance. If the fire originates in their own suite, it is accepted that they can wait on the landing of the exit stairs and that can provide an area of refuge. In high-rise buildings, one or more elevators will be designed so that the fire department can use them to travel up the building and to evacuate remaining residents.

In apartment buildings with two exit stairs, the second exit stair is intended to mitigate the risk that an exit stair, or section of corridor leading to it, is rendered unusable due to smoke or fire. The second exit stair is assumed to increase the probability of evacuating the residents while also allowing access for emergency responders.

In apartment buildings with a single-exit stair, the intent is to provide an equal degree of safety by limiting the number of occupants and apartments served by the single stair and by adding a number of other enhancements to fire resistive materials, smoke control etc.

3.4.2. Number and Location of Exits from Floor Areas

3.4.2.1. Minimum Number of Exits

1) Except as permitted by Sentences (2) to (4), every *floor area* intended for *occupancy* shall be served by at least 2 *exits*.

2) A *floor area* in a *building* not more than 2 *storeys* in *building height,* is permitted to be served by one *exit* provided the total *occupant load* served by the *exit* is not more than 60, and

- a) in a *floor area* that is not *sprinklered* throughout, the *floor area* and the travel distance are not more than the values in Table 3.4.2.1.-A, or
- b) in a floor area that is sprinklered throughout
 - i) the travel distance is not more than 25 m, and
 - ii) the floor area is not more than the value in Table 3.4.2.1.-B.

NBC CODE CHANGE REQUEST 2022

In 2022 a code change request concerning Part 3 of the NBC was submitted to the Canadian Commission on Building and Fire Codes by Conrad Speckert of LGA Architectural Partners, and David Hine of David Hine Engineering Inc. The code change request proposed "additional sentences under NBC Division B Section 3.4.2.1 to introduce single-exit, multi-unit residential buildings of up to six storeys, requiring additional life safety measures and placing limits on the occupant load and number of dwelling units per storey served by the single exit"1.

1.Speckert, C. (2023). Second Egress. Retrieved from https://secondegress.ca/

The request proposed the following limitations and additional life safety measures for single-stair residential buildings:

- no more than four dwelling units per storey, using Seattle's building code as a precedent
- a maximum floor area of 150m² per dwelling unit
- requiring positive pressurization of the exit stair, based on the requirement for smoke control measures in high-rise buildings above 18m in building height
- increased minimum fire-protection rating of dwelling unit entrance doors from a 20 min rating to 45 mins
- requiring a fire alarm system without exception, and requiring automatic monitoring of the fire alarm system



IMAGE: SPECKERT, C. (2022). CODE CHANGE INFOGRAPHIC. MCGILL SCHOOL OF ARCHITECTURE. RETRIEVED FROM HTTPS://SECONDEGRESS.CA/

GHL CODE REVIEW

GHL Consultants has reviewed the 2022 NBC Code Change Request and the single-stair, design scenarios contained in this study and has identified issues which could strengthen the code change submission as well as valuable subject areas for further detailed analysis. Their detailed comments are contained in a letter attached as an appendix to this document.

The maximum number of storeys proposed in the NBC Code Change Request is six, which corresponds with the maximum height for combustible construction in the code. GHL notes that a greater height limit could be considered, with commensurate construction requirements (assembly fire resistance ratings, construction material type, high-rise elevator and smoke control measures etc.). It may be advantageous to consider extending the proposed code change to a 7-9 storey residential building in the future, perhaps of unprotected mass-timber construction. In this case, one could consider the use of the elevator(s) as a second means of egress from the building because fire department access and emergency backup power is already required for elevators in buildings of this height. GHL acknowledges that, politically speaking, six storeys may be a sensible first step for code change in this area.

The 2022, code-change request stipulates pressurization of the single-exit stair be provided. GHL is in agreement with this provision for buildings more than six storeys in height but questions it as a requirement for the six-storey limit currently being proposed. For a future seven- to nine-storey, singlestair building, a pressurized stair with a UPS emergency backup could be considered.

As it stands, the Code assumes a single point of egress from residential suites (i.e. the suite entry door). When the single point of egress is compromised, the residential suites are functionally an area of refuge similar to protected accessible floor areas in unsprinklered buildings. To quantify risk to occupants sheltering in place within the residential suites, GHL proposes a probability of failure assessment be undertaken in support of the proposed code change. This would include a study of Canadian sprinkler performance to establish the reliability of a modern, monitored, and supervised sprinkler system. This analysis would be developed and quantified to note that in a sprinklered building the probability of a major fire in combination with failure of a fire department response to clear and make safe an exit stair within a reasonable time is sufficiently low.

In some overseas jurisdictions, evacuation from balconies via truck-mounted ladders is considered as a second means of egress from a residential suite. This requires that suites each have a balcony accessible to a firetruck below. GHL considers this option to be impractical or not reliable in the Canadian context and consequently not beneficial in this discussion. A balcony as an area of refuge to wait for first responders, rather than a point of external evacuation, does remain valid though and is supported by previous National Building Code provisions for unsprinklered high buildings.

For a person who requires a wheelchair, GHL considers that the proposed code change provides essentially the same level of performance as current Code in event of an emergency, although the second stair does provide options for first responders. As noted above, it is already accepted that the residential suite can provide an area of refuge in event of a fire, or if the fire originates within the suite, then such persons can remain in the stair to await assistance. As part of the proposal for code change, GHL recommends that a defined area of refuge be provided on the stair landing or within the corridor. If the area of refuge is located within the exit stair, then it may not be necessary to increase the fire rating of suite doors which is proposed in the NBC Code Change Request.

Regarding the design of the single-exit stair itself, GHL notes that it may be prudent to require stairs of noncombustible construction (steel treads and risers) or be of one-hour, fire-rated construction. Similarly, and at minimal cost, it is likely advisable to require the stairs be sprinklered at each landing. This would eliminate the risk of fire in the exit stair burning the stairs such that they would not be available after suppression.



INDICATIVE CORE PLAN

1 hr. fire separation



INDICATIVE CORE PLAN

1 hr. fire separation

FUTURE STUDIES

Based on GHL's preliminary review, the following areas of performance-based design are recommended for further study to support code change relating to singleexit stair residential buildings in Canada:

Building Characteristics

Further evaluation of proposed building characteristic limitations, such as building height, area, and number of suites for single egress in residential buildings. This study will explore different building forms utilizing single egress and seek to recommend appropriate limits on building characteristics.

Comparative Egress Analysis

A time-egress comparison of a building with two exits where occupants evacuate into a common corridor compared to occupants' evacuation directly into a single exit. This study will assess the level of performance of egress from a dwelling unit with a common point of failure, present in both the single-exit building and a building with two exits.

Canadian Fire Dept Performance

Evaluate various aspects of fire department operations, including response times, and overall effectiveness in mitigating fire-related emergencies. The study will also explore the methodologies for determining adequate water supply to buildings prescribed by the Building Code, which do not credit the provision of sprinklers. By examining these factors, the research will seek to identify the proposed Building Code change's reliance on fire-department operation.

Analysis of Canadian Sprinkler Reliability

Evaluate the effectiveness and performance of sprinkler systems in Canadian residential buildings to determine the probabilistic risk of failure of monitored sprinklered buildings.

Use of Elevators for Evacuation

In sprinklered buildings, the Building Code only contemplates egress by way of exterior doors or exit facilities such as stairs. Considering seven- to ninestorey, residential buildings, this study will evaluate the effectiveness, safety protocols, and potential benefits of utilizing elevators in fire-related emergencies from buildings provided with single egress.

Appropriate Smoke Control Measures

Evaluate the effectiveness and performance of smoke control measures in mitigating risks associated with smoke spread. While this issue is most relevant to buildings greater than six storeys in height, the study will explore the level of risk associated with singleegress buildings up to six storeys in height and whether smoke control measures are warranted at this scale.

DESIGN INVESTIGATIONS

The following three case studies compare a two-stair (code-compliant) building to a single-stair altenative, each on a different standard Metro Vancouver lot scenario.

50-foot Lot on Commercial Street



3D DIAGRAM OF A RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBOURHOOD

This scenario proposes a small mixed-use building on a commercial street, with 5 storeys of apartments above a ground floor commercial unit. Applicable sites include existing low-rise commercial streets such as those identified as Neighborhood Centres in the Vancouver Plan. This is conceived as an infill project mid-block but could equally be applied on an end-of-block condition or as a series of connected point access blocks with separate or continuous commercial space at street level.

FORM OF DEVELOPMENT

Three units per floor are proposed with a single-exit stair and elevator at the centre of the plan. The unit-mix ranges from studios to three-bed units, with an emphasis on family units (two-bed and larger). Equally, maximizing the number of units is preferable, in which case four units per floor could be provided shifting the unit mix towards studio and one-bed units.

The single-exit stair means that the full extent of the front and rear facades is available for balconies and windows to maximize daylight and private outdoor space. A floor plan efficiency of 84% is provided which maximizes the area of residential floor space.

The building is occupying approx. 70% of the site area although it is anticipated that ground floor functions such as waste storage for both the CRU and residential uses and bike storage would necessitate an extended floor area at level one. A common amenity room could take the place of





the three-bedroom unit on level 2, with common outdoor space available at the rear of the site above the extended ground floor spaces. Basement space is likely needed for electrical and mechanical rooms and potentially additional bike storage.

The constraints of the site footprint make below-grade parking impractical, so the potential for resident vehicle parking is minimal. There is potential for residential loading spaces and/or car share space at the rear of the site, accessed from the lane. There is emerging policy in the cities of Metro Vancouver supporting deep parking reductions or even zero parking allowances for sites close to local services and rapid transit and this scenario is an example of that.





CODE-COMPLIANT TWO STAIR VERSION

A code-compliant version of this plan involves locating a second, exit stair to the rear of the building and extending the corridor to connect both stairs. The previous threebedroom unit is split by the corridor into a two-bedroom and a studio unit. Floor area efficiency drops to 77% due to the reduction in residential area at the expense of circulation. The added external staircase will disrupt the ground floor plan and occupy space otherwise available for service functions. A comparative review of the this single-exit-stair and two-exit-stair layout by the cost consultant identifies an approximate 6% construction cost increase to build the second stair. The two-exit-stair layout also has less rentable/saleable floor area with which to cover the construction costs.

Storeys:	6 (1 commercial,		Studio
	5 residential)		1-hed
FSR:	3.51		i beu
Unit mix:	50% studio		2-bed
	0% 1-bed		3-bed
	50% 2-bed		
	0% 3-bed		
Floor area efficiency:	77%		
Cost premium:	+ 6%		



FRONT ELEVATION RENDER



ENLARGED FLOOR PLAN 1:100

2 Two Combined 33-foot Lots within Mixed Residential Area



3D DIAGRAM OF A RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBOURHOOD

This scenario proposes a compact, apartment building on a mixed, residential street with six storeys of apartments. Applicable sites may include a broad range or RS, RT, and RM zones across significant parts of Metro Vancouver. This is conceived as an infill project mid-block but could equally be applied on an end-of-block/corner condition. Setbacks to the front, rear, and sides are maintained.

FORM OF DEVELOPMENT

Four units per floor are proposed with a single-exit stair and elevator to one side of the plan. The unit mix ranges from one-bedroom to three-bedroom units, with a strong emphasis on family units (two-bedroom and larger), representing 75% of the units. With allowance for ground floor indoor amenity space, a potential of up to 22 units may be achieved. The side setbacks have the benefit of allowing significant daylight access to bedrooms located along the sides of the plan, whether the site is located mid-block or at a corner condition.

The single-exit stair means that the full extent of the front and rear facades, and most of the side facades, is available for balconies and windows to maximize daylight and private outdoor space. A floor plan efficiency of 84% is provided which maximizes the area of residential floor space.



FRONT ELEVATION RENDER



SECTION THROUGH THE BUILDING



TYPICAL FLOOR PLAN

This layout allows for shared outdoor space to be located at the rear of the property, adjacent to an indoor amenity space. The 66ft frontage and side setback could accommodate a single car ramp (3.6m) to access a below-grade parkade without significant disruption to the ground floor plan or common outdoor space. Preliminary study suggests eight to nine parking spaces may be available, in addition to electrical, mechanical and bike storage rooms. For sites located close to local services and transit there may be existing or emerging policy to support this off-street parking ratio.



10m



TWO-STAIR VERSION

CODE-COMPLIANT TWO STAIR VERSION

A code-compliant version of this plan involves locating a second, exit stair to the other side of the building and extending the corridor to connect both stairs. The previous three-bedroom unit is reduced in size to a two-bedroom by the extended corridor. Floor area efficiency drops to 80% due to the reduction in residential area at the expense of circulation. The added external staircase restricts the available area for bedroom windows and may create exposure protection issues requiring additional sprinkler protection of adjacent windows. A comparative cost review of the single-stair versus two-stair layout identifies ~2% construction cost increase to build the second stair. The two-stair layout also has less rentable/saleable floor area with which to recover these costs.



10m



ENLARGED FLOOR PLAN 1:100





3D DIAGRAM OF A RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBOURHOOD

This scenario proposes an apartment building on a mixed residential street, with six storeys of apartments. Applicable sites may include a broad range of RT and RM zones across significant parts of Metro Vancouver. This is conceived as an alternative approach to the common, six-storey doubleloaded corridor in light, wood-frame construction. Setbacks to the front, rear, and sides are maintained.

FORM OF DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development is two connected point access blocks with each block having four units per floor, and a single-exit stair and elevator to the rear. We refer to this as a single-exit-stair layout even though there are two stairs in the plan as each point access block is functionally separate. The unit mix ranges from studio to three-bedroom, with a 50% provision of family units (two-bedroom and larger). With an allowance for ground-floor, indoor, amenity space, a potential of up to 45 units may be achieved.

The single-exit-stair layout creates opportunities for the larger units (two- and three-bedroom) to be dual-aspect, with living space facing the street and bedrooms facing the quieter interior of the site. This also provides natural cross ventilation for these units with the benefits of comfort and resilience outlined earlier. The dual-aspect layout of the family units also means that the scheme is not dependent on daylight from the narrow side elevations and so could be provided with small (or even no) side-yard setbacks and still



FRONT ELEVATION RENDER



SECTION THROUGH THE BUILDING


TYPICAL FLOOR PLAN - SINGLE-EXIT-STAIR

provide acceptable daylighting. The compact stair, elevator, and corridor layout creates a floor plan efficiency of 88%, which maximizes the area of residential floor space.

The usual depth of a lot of this type (approx. 122ft) does not provide sufficient space for two facing point access blocks (i.e. a second block in the back, facing the lane), so other options for increasing density, such as the low-rise row housing/townhomes indicated here, can be considered to make use of the remaining site area. This scheme is expected to include below-grade parking accessed by a ramp from the lane, in addition to electrical, mechanical, and bike-storage rooms.



Storeys:	6 residential	Studio
FSR:	1.90	1-bed
Unit mix:	25% studio	
	25% 1-bed	2-bed
	25% 2-bed	3-bed
	25% 3-bed	
Floor area efficiency:	88%	



street

TYPICAL FLOOR PLAN - DOUBLE-LOADED CORRIDOR

CODE-COMPLIANT TWO-EXIT-STAIR VERSION

A code-compliant development of this site would be the common, six-storey, double-loaded corridor as illustrated here. We can see greater density in the typical floor plan than the previous point access block layout, both in terms of unit count (an additional three apartments per floor) and in overall floor area (approx. 31% greater). This example maintains a relatively high floorplan efficiency of 86% because the two stairs are inset from the ends of the building, so the corridor does not continue to the end walls.

A comparative review of the single-exit-stair point access blocks and two-exit-stair double-loaded corridor layouts by the cost consultant identifies an approximate 24% construction cost increase to build the two-exit-stair layout.



10m

Storeys:	6 residential	Studio
FSR:	2.50	1-bed
Unit mix:	27% studio	
	27% 1-bed	2-bed
	27% 2-bed	3-bed
	19% 3-bed	
Floor area efficiency:	86%	
Cost premium:	+24%	

However, in this case the increased density created by the double-loaded corridor would compensate for additional costs. For sites with these proportions, the common double-loaded corridor can offer an efficient solution.



ENLARGED FLOOR PLAN 1:100

CONCLUSIONS

This study represents an initial exploration into the transformative potential of code reform in the design and supply of high-quality, urban housing. The following points summarize our key findings and recommendations:

INITIAL EXAMINATION OF CODE REFORM POSSIBILITIES

The design studies conducted are an initial step in understanding how changes to the building code can significantly impact the urban housing landscape. These studies highlight the potential for code reform to facilitate the development of high-quality urban housing, addressing both design challenges and market needs.

DESIGN FLEXIBILITY AND EFFICIENCY WITH SINGLE-EXIT STAIR

The option of a single-exit stair in multi-storey residential buildings introduces greater design flexibility and efficiency. This approach allows for more creative and effective use of space, especially in complex urban environments where traditional design solutions may be limiting.

BENEFITS FOR SMALLER SITES

Smaller sites, often overlooked due to their complexity and inefficiency under current building codes, stand to benefit significantly from this reform. The flexibility offered by a single stair could unlock the potential of these sites, making them viable options for urban housing development.

ADDRESSING THE 'MISSING MIDDLE' IN METRO VANCOUVER

The proposed code changes could be a crucial solution for meeting the needs of the 'Missing Middle' in Metro Vancouver. By allowing for more diverse housing types, this reform can cater to a broader spectrum of the urban population, bridging the gap in the housing market.

LIVABILITY AND COMMUNITY BENEFITS

Beyond development potential, the single-stair point access blocks offer valuable livability and community benefits. These designs can lead to more cohesive and engaged communities, enhancing the overall quality of urban living.

RECOMMENDATION FOR DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

It is recommended that the reform of the requirement for two exit stairs be advanced through 'alternative solutions' to the existing codes. Implementing demonstration projects under this framework can showcase the practicality and benefits of the proposed changes.

SUPPORT FOR BUILDING CODE REFORM

Stakeholders in the provision of affordable and sustainable housing in Canada are encouraged to support a pathway to reform of the National Building Code. This support should include backing the necessary life-safety analysis to ensure that these reforms enhance housing availability while also maintaining or improving safety standards.

In conclusion, the proposed code reforms open up new avenues for addressing some of the most pressing challenges in urban housing development. By embracing these changes, there is a potential to significantly improve the availability, diversity, and quality of housing in urban areas, particularly in regions like Metro Vancouver. These reforms should be pursued with a commitment to ensuring safety, enhancing community engagement, and fostering sustainable urban growth.





PUBLIC ARCHITECTURE

1495 FRANCES ST. VANCOUVER BC V5L 1Z1 TEL 604 738 4323

PUBLIC would like to acknowledge that the land on which we gather is the unceded, traditional, and ancestral territories of the Musqueam, Squamish, and Tsleil-Waututh Nations.

INFO@PUBLICDESIGN.CA PUBLICDESIGN.CA



700 W. PENDER STREET, SUITE 800 VANCOUVER, BC V6C 1G8 CANADA

604 689 4449 www.ghl.ca Holder of AIBC Certificate of Practice

May 19, 2023 Revised December 18, 2023

Via Email <u>conrad@lga-ap.com</u> Phone 416 203 7600

Mr. Conrad Speckert, BAS, MArch LGA Architectural Partners 100B – 310 Spadina Avenue Toronto, ON M5T 2E8

PRELIMINARY REVIEW COMMENTS BC HOUSING GRANT – SINGLE STAIR STUDY

GHL File 8761.00 C

Dear Conrad:

As requested, this letter is to summarize our preliminary comments regarding the Building Code change request specific to the maximum allowable building height with single egress for multi-unit residential occupancies. We note that these are preliminary thoughts, and we have not endeavoured to check references or perform any analysis described below.

We start by acknowledging the significant amount of work that has gone into the submission to date. The comments below should, by no means, be taken as criticism, but rather as suggestions for strengthening the submission.

Background

The current National Building Code 2020 (NBC) prescribes each floor area to have access to at least two exits unless the building is not more than 2 storeys in height. The proposed Code change request indicates that this limits the type of typologies available for residential midrise buildings in urban development. The Code change proposes residential buildings, up to 6 storeys, to be permitted a single exit provided certain design features are provided. Key design features related to single exit from a storey include:

- Occupant load limit on single exit storey.
- Dwelling unit limit on each single exit storey.
- Area limit within dwelling units.
- 45min fire protection rated dwelling unit doors.
- Pressurization of exit stair.
- Monitored fire alarm.

Preliminary Comments

In our opinion, the relevant documents provide substantial support to substantiate a Code change though a qualitative analysis and comparison to other jurisdictions; however, the proposal should quantify the risk to persons within a suite served by a single exit and compare that to the level of safety provided by existing solutions in the Code. The Code assumes a single point of egress from residential suites. When the single point of egress is compromised, the residential suites are functionally an area of refuge similar to protected accessible floor areas in unsprinklered buildings. To quantify risk to occupants sheltering in place, a probability of failure assessment to the following could be added to the proposal:

- 1) breach of suite fire separation,
- 2) delays Fire Department response in urban/rural areas, and
- 3) failure of sprinkler system.

The only area where we have concern is the discussion and diagrams of the use of truck mounted ladders. This has the potential to lead to limitations in any accepted Code change that each suite must have a balcony accessible to a firetruck. We do not believe this is practical, nor do we believe it is beneficial. Although we are not firefighters, it is our understanding that, even if ground conditions allow setup of truck mounted ladders, there is significant setup time in the order of 10 to 15 minutes in addition to the response time (we believe this was recently assessed by NRC) and that this renders the balcony solution to be limited.

With respect to smoke control, we concur the stair should be smoke protected for buildings greater than 6 storeys in height. The Code currently provides provisions for smoke control in high buildings, noting a maximum of 1% smoke, normally interpreted as 'tenable' per ISO standards. We believe that bottom venting may not be sufficient; however, use of exterior stairs or pressurization, would addresses this issue. We have successfully implemented smoke control systems with battery based 'UPS' based power to avoid generators in 5- to 7-storey buildings, so provisions of pressurization could be readily implemented at reasonable costs. We believe that further discussion of smoke control provisions would complicate the proposal.

We also recommend the following components of the proposed Code change be further reviewed to strengthen the proposal:

- a) Single exit is limited to 6-storey buildings and appears to be determined based on the maximum height for combustible construction. Recommend the maximum number of storeys be reviewed through risk base analysis. We believe it could be higher with the commensurate construction requirements, but perhaps politically 6 storey is a first step. For buildings up to 6 storeys, continuous operation of the corridor makeup air may be sufficient. In buildings beyond 6 storeys, further analysis is required and may require provisions for a smoke protected exit stair.
- b) Although the Code concentrates on fire scenarios, an anecdotal analysis of other emergency scenarios, including arson, bombings, home invasion would be appropriate. However, it is appropriate to compare the level of safety achieved with conventional housing.
- c) Presence of the balcony as a place of refuge to wait for first responders remains a valid concept. This is supported by the options that existed in the Code for use of balconies as areas of refuge in unsprinklered high buildings constructed prior to the provisions of the 1995 National Building Code. There was no expectation that these balconies could be reached by ladders, either hand or



truck mounted: the intent was for firefighters to access via the corridor after they had cleared the corridor of fire and smoke, and the occupants could wait in a place of safety until this occurred.

- d) We believe further development of the approach can be made to emphasise that, if there is a fire in the suite, the stair is available for egress and, if there is a fire in the stair, or it is otherwise obstructed, then the suite can serve as an area of refuge. We believe this can be developed and quantified to note that in a sprinklered building the probability of a major fire in combination with failure of a Fire Department response to clear and make safe an exit stair within a reasonable time is sufficiently low. It is noted that the refuge area is not intended to be designed an area of refuge described in Article 3.3.3.6 for care, treatment, or detention occupancies. More discussions may be necessary, though in our opinion, a circular area with a 1.7m diameter my be sufficient based on the assumption of a fire within as single suite.
- e) We believe there is a need for a study of Canadian sprinkler reliability analysis to establish the reliability of a modern, monitored, and supervised sprinkler system. We believe that the NFPA statistics based on NFIRS data that include older systems, have no details of the type of sprinkler system, age of system or level of maintenance, provide reliability figures that are significantly below that which anecdotal evidence in Canada, along with the studies by Len Garris. Based on that, and studies of First Responder response, an assessment of the level of safety can be developed. This methodology would permit a comparative analysis of the level of safety. For example, a 3-storey unsprinklered conventional apartment building could be compared with the Code change proposal. We would expect that this study would provide quantitative evidence of the acceptability of the approach.
- f) Notwithstanding that, NFPA 13 already requires balconies and attics to be sprinkler protected in buildings over 4 storeys, it would help to re-iterate that these improvements to the Code have been implemented in all new buildings. We note a significant number of buildings in BC and Alberta have had fires enter the attic, and result in loss of the building, although, to our understanding, not loss of life outside the compartment of origin. It would be beneficial to study sprinkler failure in buildings designed per NFPA 13R and the impacts on loss of life or loss of the building.
- g) In addition to increasing the fire rating of the suite doors, it may be prudent to require that the exit stairs be of noncombustible construction (steel treads and risers) or be of 1h fire rated construction. There is a risk that, although the Code currently requires the stair enclosure to be 1h fire rated, light wood frame stair construction with no fire rating is permitted. Similarly, and at minimal cost, it is likely advisable to require the stairs be sprinklered at each landing. This would eliminate the risk of fire in the exit stair burning the stairs such that they would not be available after suppression. An increase fire rating at suite doors may not be necessary if the aforementioned area of refuge is located within the exit stair.
- h) We note that, for a person who requires a wheelchair, the proposal provides essentially the same level of performance; as such, a person cannot use the stair, although two stairs do provide options for first responders. It is already accepted for the suite to be an area of refuge, or, if the fire originates in the suite, such persons can remain in the stair. On this basis, we recommend the proposal also include minimum dimensions for stair landings to permit a person in a wheelchair to use the stair as an area of refuge. We note that the strongest concerns we have heard to date is the issue of persons with disabilities.



In unsprinklered buildings, the Code provides several options for protection of accessible floor areas. These options include direct access to the exterior, elevator access, compartmentalization of floor areas, or access to balconies. In a sprinklered building, the combination of one of the above noted provisions may be sufficient in forming the basis for a single exit stair alternative solution.

- There are opportunities to use elevators as the second means of egress for single exit buildings greater than 6 storeys in height. The Code recognizes the use of elevators in Article 3.3.1.7.(1)
 "Protection on Accessible Floor Areas" when it prescribes an unsprinklered floor area to be served by an elevator. Where an elevator is used, it may be appropriate to smoke protect the elevator as well as the stair. It is noted that the current Code relies on elevators being supplied with emergency power from a generator however, we anticipate battery power options to be available in the future.
- j) It would also be appropriate to consider permitting the elevator to be entirely located within the exit stair. Currently the Code prohibits this configuration, although the intent appears to be to prevent having the elevator shaft interconnect the exit enclosure and the floor area. Allowing the elevator to be either entirely within the stair or entirely within the floor area addresses concerns raised with egress for persons with disabilities (we note current elevator restrictions may be based on concerns of leakage of significant quantities of hydraulic fluid, common in past decades; however, hydraulic elevators are rarely used these days).

We believe an assessment of each of the above concerns would result in a positive outcome and be additional support for the proposal.

Other Options

We concur that initial development of a sprinklered 6-storey approach is appropriate. We note that it may be advantageous to consider extending this proposal to larger buildings, particularly in the 7 to 9 storey height range, which it is anticipated will be permitted to be unprotected mass timber construction. For these buildings it may be practical to consider the elevators as an alternative means of egress and first responder access as emergency power will be required for these buildings.

Support

The above comments are ideas and concepts to substantially strengthen the proposed Code change. Based on our experience in developing alternative solutions for a wide range of housing and in performance based design, we believe this proposal can be expanded and strengthened to demonstrate that the level of fire safety is at least equal or better than the lowest level of safety currently accepted by the National Building Code; namely, that of 3-storey house and unsprinklered 3-storey apartment buildings.

Andrew Harmsworth, the undersigned, as a member of Urban Development Institute Pacific Building Codes Committee can confirm that UDI Pacific believes development of Code changes such as this is important to improve the options for multi-family development in dense city environments. UDI Pacific Region represents a significant portion of the Developers in the Metro Vancouver region.

We have also discussed this with the BC Building Safety Standards Branch who confirm they support development of the concept, noting that 'we do not want to take a step back in fire and life safety' and that it needs consideration of evacuation of persons with disabilities.



Committee Involvement

In the interest of disclosure, we note Andrew Harmsworth is a member of the Standing Committee on Fire Protection, and also the BC Mass Timber Advisory Council, advising the BC Government on Mass Timber Implementation.

Future Studies

Further studies are anticipated to provide a technical assessment to support the Building Code change related to single exits from residential buildings. Based on our preliminary review, the following areas of performance-based design are recommended to be studied:

- 1. **Building Characteristics:** The study will evaluate the building characteristic limitations, such as building height, area, and number of suites for single egress in residential buildings. This study will explore different building forms utilizing single egress and seek to recommend appropriate limits on building characteristics.
- 2. **Comparative Egress Analysis:** This study will evaluate a time-egress comparison of a building with two exits where occupants evacuate into a common corridor compared to occupants' evacuation directly into a single exit. This study will assess the level of performance of egress from a dwelling unit with a common point of failure, present in both the single exit building and a building with two exits.
- 3. **Canadian Fire Department Performance:** This study will evaluate various aspects of fire department operations, including response times, and overall effectiveness in mitigating fire-related emergencies. The study will also explore the methodologies for determining adequate water supply to buildings prescribed by the Building Code. Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) calculations are often requested by Authorities Having Jurisdiction which create onerous infrastructure expenses without crediting provision of sprinklers. By examining these factors, the research will seek to identify the proposed Building Code change's reliance on fire department operation.
- 4. **Canadian Sprinkler Design and Reliability Analysis:** This study will evaluate the effectiveness and performance of sprinkler systems in Canadian residential buildings. By examining this, the research will seek to determine the probabilistic risk of failure of monitored sprinklered buildings.

The Use of Elevators for Evacuation: The study will evaluate the use of elevators for evacuation during fire-related emergencies. In sprinklered buildings, the Building Code only contemplates egress by way of exterior doors or exit facilities such as stairs. It does not contemplate alternate means of egress such as elevators. With advancements in elevator technology and safety measures use of elevators may provide an alternative means of egress. This study will analyse the effectiveness, safety protocols, and potential benefits of utilizing elevators in fire-related emergencies from buildings provided with single egress. This study is more appropriate for buildings greater than 6 storeys in building height.



Appropriate Smoke Control Measures: The study will evaluate the effectiveness and performance of smoke control measures in their ability to mitigate risks associated with smoke currently implemented to satisfy the requirement of Subsection 3.2.6. The study will explore the level of risk associated with single egress buildings up to 6 storeys in height and whether smoke control measures are warranted. This study is more appropriate for buildings greater than 6 storeys in building height.

Summary

This letter has described our preliminary review comments for the BC Housing Grant - Single Stair Study.

We trust the foregoing is the information you require at the present time. Should you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Prepared by, GHL CONSULTANTS LTD Permit to Practice 1002752 Reviewed by,

quit.

Darrell Li, P Eng, CP

Andrew Harmsworth, M Eng, P Eng, CP, FEC

* Limitation of Liability *

This technical report addresses only specific Building Code issues under the GHL/Client agreement for this project and shall in no way be construed as exhaustive or complete. This technical report is issued only to the Authority Having Jurisdiction, the Client, Prime Consultants and Fire Suppression Designer to this project and shall not be relied upon (without prior written authorization from GHL) by any other party.

DL/AH/kI/cye P:\PRJ\87\8761 - BC Housing Grant – Single Stair Study\Report\2023-12-18 Speckert - Single Stair Study Preliminary (GHL 8761.00) R1.docx



Ross Templeton + Associates

5360 – 12th Avenue Delta, BC V4M 2B3 Canada

T: 604.616.0406 E: info@rtaqs.com W: www.rtaqs.com

November 9, 2023

PUBLIC 1495 Frances Street Vancouver, BC, V5L 1Z1

Attention: Jamie Harte, Architect AIBC, CPHD Senior Associate

BC HOUSING GRANT - SINGLE STAIR STUDY VANCOUVER, BC CLASS D CONCEPT DESIGN CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE (REV.1)

We have reviewed the design documents provided, prepared a Class D Concept Design Construction Cost estimate priced in current Q4 2023 local Vancouver, BC dollars and enclose our estimate report.

The cost analysis reflects a single above grade floorplan vs single above grade floorplan per option as instructed by PUBLIC for purposes of study.

Pricing has been included at Q4 2023 local unit rates noting the current uncertainty and volatility of the market. Supply chain issues currently being experienced may have unknown (short and long term) impacts on pricing levels and anticipated projected construction escalation.

Future construction escalation costs have been excluded. Future escalation costs should be compound calculated to anticipated mid-point of construction. Escalation contingency is excluded with all dollar values representing current market opinion of Q4 2023 local unit rates. A separate escalation contingency will be required for future price increases.

Current market instability is a significant short- and long-term cost and schedule risk item (supply chain fulfilment of orders in a timely manner may create potential for critical path related construction delays).

Please note the conditions on which the costs are based, and the items excluded.

For Ross Templeton + Associates

Ross Templeton MRICS, PQS Principal ross@rtaqs.com

1574

RTA as

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project scope involves the study to compare code-compliant 2-exit-stair designs with alternate single-stair options, City of Vancouver, BC. The scope of the work has been comprehensively defined by PUBLIC within the Concept Feasibility Design scoping package:

- All options are with the same construction assemblies TJI floors with 2x4/ 2x4 walls.
- BC Housing Guidelines specifications assumed for general construction and finishes.
- All options have standard stretcher-sized electric traction elevators.

Alternate single-stair options additional code requirements include:

- 1. Apartment entry doors increased to 45min rating (code-compliant 2 stair options have 20min doors).
- 2. The stair would be pressurized and vented similar to High Building code requirements.
- 3. Stair pressurization would be on a UPS backup.
- 4. Stair construction to have 1H fire resistance (5/8" type X).

Option 1

5x 33ft lots consolidated Conventional double-loaded corridor -v- two connected single-stair blocks 6-storey plus underground parking

Option 2

2x 33ft lots consolidated Two-stair option with exterior steel stair -v- single-stair block. 6-storey plus underground parking.

Option 3

1x 50ft lot
Two-stair option with exterior steel stair -v- single-stair block.
6-storey including ground floor commercial
Zero lot line condition with CMU party walls.

The cost analysis reflects a single above grade floorplan vs single above grade floorplan per option as instructed by PUBLIC for purposes of study.



ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST (Q4 2023 \$)

The cost analysis reflects a single above grade floorplan vs single above grade floorplan per option as instructed by PUBLIC for purposes of study.

Please refer to the appended Class D estimate:

Estimated Construction Cost Q4 2023 \$

Option 1: 5x 33ft lots	
Option 1A: Conventional double-loaded corridor	\$ 2,506,400 (single floorplate)
Option 1B: Two connected single-stair blocks	\$ 2,028,100 (single floorplate)
Construction Cost Variance (+/-) (Q4 2023 \$ noting exclusions/pricing basis)	\$ 478,300 (+23.6%)
Option 2: 2x 33ft lots	
Option 2A: Two-stair option with exterior steel stair	\$ 1,185,500 (single floorplate)
Option 2B: Single-stair block	\$ 1,162,500 (single floorplate)
Construction Cost Variance (+/-) (Q4 2023 \$ noting exclusions/pricing basis)	\$ 23,000 (+2.0%)
Option 3: 1x 50ft lot	
Option 3A: Two-stair option with exterior steel stair	\$ 1,039,600 (single floorplate)
Option 3B: Single-stair block	\$ 981,000 (single floorplate)
Construction Cost Variance (+/-) (Q4 2023 \$ noting exclusions/pricing basis)	\$ 58,600 (+6.0%)

Class D Concept Design Cost estimates are typically +/- 30-50% in accuracy 18 times out of 20 with many variables influencing the final construction price including most importantly the final design scope parameters, final specifications (output specification, performance specifications, proprietary specifications), final drawings, contractors' contractual obligations, extent of supplementary conditions, number of compliant bidders, volatility of the market, supply chain issues and market activity at time of tender.

Please refer to the exclusions section and appended Class D estimate for the estimate detail.

Pricing has been included at Q4 2023 local unit rates noting the current uncertainty and volatility of the market. Supply chain issues currently being experienced may have unknown (short and long term) impacts on pricing levels and anticipated projected construction escalation.

Note:

Please note material supply prices have recently spiked with current market supply chain price increases affecting supply price of aluminium, copper, steel, silicone, tile, insulation, ipex, PVC/UPVC products etc. Container freight shipping costs have recently increased by +300-400%. Current market instability is a significant short- and long-term cost and schedule risk item (supply chain fulfilment of orders in a timely manner may create potential for critical path related construction delays).

RTA as

PROJECT CALENDAR

A project start date has not been indicated. Pricing has been included at Q4 2023 local unit rates noting the current uncertainty and volatility of the market. Supply chain issues currently being experienced may have unknown (short and long term) impacts on pricing levels and anticipated projected construction escalation. <u>Future cost escalation is</u> <u>excluded from the estimate</u>. Please refer to the 'Escalation Contingency' section of this report.

AREA ANALYSIS

The cost analysis reflects a single above grade floorplan vs single above grade floorplan per option as instructed by PUBLIC for purposes of study:

Option 1: 5x 33ft lots	
Option 1A: Conventional double-loaded corridor	782 m ² (single floorplate)
Option 1B: Two connected single-stair blocks	594 m ² (single floorplate)
Gross Floor Area (single floorplate) Variance (+/-)	188 m² (+31.6%)
Option 2: 2x 33ft lots	
Option 2A: Two-stair option with exterior steel stair	336 m ² (single floorplate)
Option 2B: Single-stair block	325 m ² (single floorplate)
Gross Floor Area (single floorplate) Variance (+/-)	11 m² (+3.4%)
Option 3: 1x 50ft lot	
Option 3A: Two-stair option with exterior steel stair	288 m ² (single floorplate)
Option 3B: Single-stair block	274 m ² (single floorplate)
Gross Floor Area (single floorplate) Variance (+/-)	14 m² (+5.1%)

CONTRACT CONDITIONS

The costs are based on the work being executed through a Construction Management or traditional 'lump sum fixed price' General Contractor arrangement with competitive tenders received from suitably qualified bidders, on standard form documents with no onerous supplementary conditions. Tenders will be received from at least five qualified bidders for major sub-trades, or subcontractor packages (concrete trade, structure/framing, envelope, roofing, steel stud, insulation & drywall, doors & hardware, finishes, millwork, mechanical and electrical). Consideration of unknown market volatility and supply chain issues at the time of tender have been specifically excluded from this estimate.



EXCLUSIONS

- <u>Construction project capital costs beyond 'floorplan vs floorplan' pricing approach for purposes of study</u>
- Land & Finance Costs (Land acquisition fees, associated legal and financing costs)
- Soft costs (Professional fees, client management fees, specialist engineering fees)
- Municipal Fees & Levies (CAC's, Municipal Contributions, Permits etc.)
- DCC's
- On-site development works, On-site M&E services
- Off-site Works
- Off-site Utility upgrades
- Hazmat Abatement costs (if any)
- Demolition of existing costs
- Sub-Phasing of the works or Accelerated Schedule
- Cost impacts resulting from a shortage of suitable trade labour, supply of materials etc.
- Out of hours working premium / restricted working hours / restricted noise conditions
- Loose Fittings Furnishings and Equipment (FF&E)
- Public Art
- Exhibits and artwork
- Operating, Maintenance, Life Cycle Replacement and Facility Management Costs
- Construction works outside the defined scope
- Owners staff training
- LEED Gold, PassiveHouse or NetZero design
- Extraordinary market conditions, market volatility and supply chain issues
- Cost escalation past Q4 2023
- Owners Construction Change Order Contingency
- Pricing based on BCBC 2018 and does not include future unknown code change cost implications
- Goods & Services Tax (GST)
- Items listed as 'excluded' in the estimate detail

DESIGN PRICING CONTINGENCY

The project is at Concept Design (Functional Program) stage and a design pricing contingency of fifteen percent (15.0%) has been included to cover pricing variances that may occur with specification changes and design detailing clarifications. This contingency will ultimately reduce to zero at tender stage.

OWNERS CONSTRUCTION CHANGE ORDER CONTINGENCY

Construction projects are rarely completed without some level of change and often additional scopes of work are required (Change Orders). We recommend the owner carry an additional sum of five percent (5.0%) of the construction cost in their budget to help offset any unforeseen costs that may arise during construction.

We have <u>excluded</u> this contingency from the construction cost estimate.

This contingency is owner owned and will not be included in the tender returns but should be set aside in a separate budget for the owner to manage during the construction period.

ESCALATION CONTINGENCY

Pricing has been included at Q4 2023 local unit rates noting the current uncertainty and volatility of the market. Supply chain issues currently being experienced may have unknown (short and long term) impacts on pricing levels and anticipated projected construction escalation.

Future construction escalation costs have been excluded.

At this stage of design future escalation costs should be compound calculated to anticipated mid-point of construction. Escalation contingency is excluded with all dollar values representing current market opinion of Q4 2023 local unit rates with consideration to the site location and project scope known at this time. A separate escalation contingency will be required.

At construction start all key sub-trades should be fully procured and price locked in. An additional escalation contingency should be added in the event of schedule slippage.

Projecting future escalation carries risk given unknown future market conditions, local and world economy status, general cost of living, CPI, prime rates, supply chains, micro/macro economics local, national and world political situation etc.

DOCUMENTS AND DATA

This cost plan estimate has been prepared using the following documents (file names noted for ease of reference):

- 231027 Costing Consultant Set
- 23-11-09 BC Housing Grant Single Stair Study Class D Estimate Report_ PUBLIC notes
- No M&E or structural design

RTA as

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

RTA QS

CONSTRUCTION	COST	SUMMARY	(TYPICAL	FLOOR
		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	(

ELEMENT	OPTION 1A - 5 X 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)	OPTION 1B - 5 X 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)	OPTION 2A - 2 X 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)	OPTION 2B - 2 X 33' LOTS (1 STAIR)	OPTION 3A - 1 X 50' LOTS (2 STAIRS)	OPTION 3B - 1 X 50' LOTS (1 STAIR)
	782 m²	594 m²	336 m²	325 m²	288 m²	274 m²
	\$	\$	\$			\$
Structural	269,700	209,900	126,400	114,300	111,900	97,300
Architectural	1,178,000	967,700	579,100	571,200	510,800	481,100
Mechanical	276,800	225,000	118,900	122,600	101,900	103,600
Electrical	199,100	153,900	85,500	84,200	73,300	70,900
General Conditions (Division 1) & GC/CM Fee	255,900	207,100	121,000	118,600	106,100	100,100
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)	\$2,179,500	\$1,763,600	\$1,030,900	\$1,010,900	\$904,000	\$853,000
Design Pricing Contingency	326,900	264,500	154,600	151,600	135,600	128,000
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)	0	0	0	0	0	-
Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) - Excluded	0	0	0	0	0	-
CONSTRUCTION TOTAL (Excluding GST & Soft Costs)	\$2,506,400	\$2,028,100	\$1,185,500	\$1,162,500	\$1,039,600	\$981,000
	\$3,205 /m ²	\$3,414 /m ²	\$3,528 /m²	\$3,577 /m²	\$3,610 /m ²	\$3,580 /m²



Option 1A: Conventional double-loaded corridor

RTA QS

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 1A TYPICAL FLOOR - 5 X 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)

FI FMENT	Total	Unit	Average Unit	ESTIMATEI	ESTIMATED COST (\$)			
	Quantity	•	Rate	GFA =	782 m	1 ²		
				\$	\$/m²	%		
SUBSTRUCTURE				0	0	0%		
Standard Foundations	0	m²	0.00	0	0			
Basement Excavation	0	m³	0.00	0	0			
STRUCTURE				269,700	345	12%		
Lowest Floor Construction	0	m²	0.00	0	0			
Upper Floor Construction	782	m²	332.23	259,800	332			
Stair Construction	36	riser	275.00	9,900	13			
Roof Construction	0	m²	0.00	0	0			
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE				370,400	474	17%		
Walls Below Grade	0	m²	0.00	0	0			
Walls Above Grade	342	m²	550.00	188,100	241			
Structural Walls Above Grade	0	m²	0.00	0	0			
Windows & Entrances	146	m²	750.00	109,500	140			
Exterior Doors	8	lvs.	2,500.00	20,000	26			
Roof Covering	0	m²	0.00	0	0			
Skylights	0	m²	0.00	0	0			
Projections	39	m²	1,353.85	52,800	68			
PARTITIONS & DOORS				356,300	456	16%		
Fixed Partitions	1,124	m²	271.33	305,000	390			
Structural Partitions	0	m²	0.00	0	0			
Movable Partitions	0	m²	0.00	0	0			
Interior Doors	54	IVS.	950.00	51,300	66			
FINISHES				195,300	250	9%		
Floor Finishes	/82	m²	95.01	/4,300	95			
Ceiling Finishes	/82	m²	104.99	82,100	105			
Wall Finishes	2,590	m²	15.02	38,900	50	100/		
	700		00.00	256,000	327	12%		
Metals	782	m²	26.09	20,400	26			
	782	m²	100.00	78,200	100			
Specialities	702	m- 	35.04	27,400	30			
	182	m-	70.33	55,000	70			
Elevators	2	stop	37,500.00	75,000	90	420/		
MECHANICAL	700	m ²	150.07	125,000	304	13%		
Fiumbing & Drainage	782	m ²	109.97	125,100				
	702	m ²	40.03	100 500	40			
Controls	782	m ²	140.05	109,300	140			
	102	111	10.04	10,500	255	۹%		
Service & Distribution	782	m ²	52 56	/1 100	53	J /0		
Lighting Devices & Heating	782	m ²	150.00	117 300	150			
Systems & Ancillaries	782	m ²	52.05	40,700	52			
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (RUII DING)	102	111	52.05	255 000	327	12%		
General Requirements (Div 1)			10.04	% 192 <u>/</u> 00	246	12/0		
GC/CM Fee			3.0	% 63.500	81			
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)			0.0	\$2,179,500	\$2,787 /m ²	100%		

RTA QS

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 1A TYPICAL FLOOR - 5 X 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)

	Total Average Unit Quantity Rate		ESTIMATED COST (\$)				
ELEMENI			Rate		GFA =	782 n	n²
					\$	\$/m²	%
SITEWORK					0	0	
Site Preparation	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Hard Surfaces	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Improvements	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Landscaping	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Mechanical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Electrical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
ANCILLARY WORK					0	0	
Demolition	1	Allow	0.00		0	0	
Hazardous Materials Abatement (Allowance)		Excl.	0.00		0	0	
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (SITE)					0	0	
General Requirements (Div.1)				10.0%	0	0	
GC/CM Fee				3.0%	0	0	
CONTINGENCIES					326,900	418	
Design Pricing Contingency				15.0%	326,900	418	
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)				0.0%	0	0	
Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) -				0.00/	0	0	
Excluded				0.0%	0	0	
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST (Ex	cluding GS	T & So	ft Costs)		\$2,506,400	\$3,205 /m ²	

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

RTA as

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 1A TYPICAL FLOOR - 5 x 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)				G	iross Floor Area: \$	782 \$/m²	m²
SUBSTRUCTURE					0	-	0%
Standard Foundations					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Basement Excavation					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
STRUCTURE					269,700	345	12%
Lowest Floor Construction					0		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	-		
Upper Floor Construction					259 800	332	
Wood floor including plywood sheathing and TJI	782	m²	332.20	259,800	200,000	002	
38mm concrete topping				,			
13mm acoustic mat							
13mm plywood sheathing							
9.5" TJI joists							
Acoustic insulation							
Stair Construction					9,900	13	
Wood stairs 1.1m wide	36	riser	275.00	9,900			
Roof Construction					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE					370,400	474	17%
Walls Below Grade					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Walls Above Grade					188 100	2/1	
Exterior walls (Assume 3.25m high and 70% of total					100,100	271	
exterior wall area)	342	m²	550.00	188,100			
Structural Walls Above Grade					٥		
Included in Walls Above Grade		Note	-	-	U	-	
					400 500	440	
Windows & Entrances					109,500	140	
exterior wall area)	146	m²	750.00	109,500			
Estavian De ena					20,000	00	
Exterior Doors					20,000	20	
balconies	8	no.	2,500.00	20,000			
Roof Covering		N1/A			0	-	
		IN/A	-	-			
Skylights					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Projections					52.800	68	
Balconies	39	m²	700.00	27,300	,		

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

RTA as

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 1A TYPICAL FLOOR - 5 x 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)				Gros	ss Floor Area: \$	782 \$/m²	m²
Guardrails	30	m	850.00	25,500			
PARTITIONS & DOORS					356,300	456	16%
Fixed Partitions					305,000	390	
Interior walls (P7.1)	591	m²	228.90	135,300			
16mm drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Interior walls (P7.2)	244	m²	261.50	63,800			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x6 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Interior walls (P7.3)	289	m²	366.40	105,900			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Structural Partitions					0	-	
Included in Fixed Partitions		Note	-	-			
Movable Partitions					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Interior Doors					51,300	66	
Hollow metal doors - single (Stairs)	2	no.	1,700.00	3,400	·		
Unit entry doors - single (20min FRR)	11	no.	1,550.00	17,100			
Hollow core wood doors - single (Bedrooms, etc.)	41	no.	750.00	30,800			
FINISHES					195,300	250	9%
Floor Finishes					74,300	95	
Resilient tile flooring	782	M²	95.00	74,300			
Ceiling Finishes					82,100	105	
GWB ceilings	782	M²	105.00	82,100			
Wall Finishes					38,900	50	
Paint to walls	2,590	m²	15.00	38,900			
FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT					256,000	327	12%
Metals					20,400	26	
Stair guardrails	13	m	650.00	8,500			
Stair handrails	13	m	250.00	3,300			

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

RTA QS

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 1A TYPICAL FLOOR - 5 x 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)				Gr	ross Floor Area: \$	782 \$/m²	m²
Allow for miscellaneous metals (By GFA)	782	m²	11.00	8,600			
Milwork					78 200	100	
Allow for millwork (By GFA)	782	m²	100.00	78,200	10,200	100	
Specialties					27 400	35	
Allow for specialties (By GFA)	782	m²	35.00	27,400	21,400	00	
Environment					55 000	70	
Allowance for appliances	11	set	5,000.00	55,000	55,000	10	
Elevatore					75.000	06	
Stretcher-sized electric traction elevators	2	stop	37.500.00	75.000	75,000	90	
				- 1			
MECHANICAL					276,800	354	13%
Plumbing & Drainage					125,100	160	
Allow for plumbing & drainage (By GFA)	782	m²	160.00	125,100			
Fire Protection					31,300	40	
Allow for fire protection (By GFA)	782	m²	40.00	31,300			
HVAC					109,500	140	
Allow for HVAC (By GFA)	782	m²	140.00	109,500	100,000		
Controlo					10 000	14	
Allow for Controls (By GFA)	782	m²	14.00	10,900	10,900	14	
ELECTRICAL					400 400	055	00/
					199,100	200	9%
Service & Distribution					41,100	53	
Allow for service & distribution (By GFA)	782	m²	52.50	41,100			
Lighting, Devices & Heating					117,300	150	
Allow for lighting (By GFA)	782	m²	150.00	117,300			
Systems & Ancillaries					40,700	52	
Allow for systems (By GFA)	782	m²	52.00	40,700			
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (BUILDING)					255,900	327	12%
General Requirements (Div.1)	10.0%			192,400	200,000		12.70
GC/CM Fee	3.0%			63,500			
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)					\$2,179,500	\$2,787 /m ²	100%
SITEWORK					0	•	
Site Prenaration & Civils					٥		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	U		
Haved Surfaces					^		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	U		
-							
Improvements					0		

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

RTA QS

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 1A TYPICAL FLOOR - 5 x 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)				Gross Floor Area: \$	782 \$/m²	m²
Not applicable	·	N/A	-	-			
Landscaping					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Mechanical Site Services		N1/A			0	•	
		N/A	-	-			
Electrical Site Services					0	_	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	U	-	
		10/71					
ANCILLARY WORK					0	-	
Demolition					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Hazardous Materials Abatement					0	•	
Removal of hazardous materials (specialist HazMat		Excl.	-	-			
costing and survey required) - excluded							
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS AND FEE (SITE)					٥	_	
General Requirements (Div 1)	10.0%			-	v		
GC/CM Fee	3.0%			-			
	0.070						
CONTINGENCIES					326,900	418	
Design Pricing Contingency	15.0%			326,90	0		
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)	0.0%			-			
Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) - Excluded	0.0%			-			
TOTAL ESTIMATED ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION CC	OST (Excluding	GST & So	ft Costs)		\$2,506,400	\$3,205 /m²	

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023



Option 1B: Two connected single-stair blocks

RTA QS

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 1B TYPICAL FLOOR - 5 X 33' LOTS (SINGLE STAIR)

FLEMENT	Total	Unit	Average Unit	ESTIMATED COST (\$)			
	Quantity	onic	Rate	GFA =	594 n	n²	
				\$	\$/m²	%	
SUBSTRUCTURE				0	0	0%	
Standard Foundations	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Basement Excavation	0	m ³	0.00	0	0		
STRUCTURE				209,900	353	12%	
Lowest Floor Construction	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Upper Floor Construction	594	m²	332.15	197,300	332		
Stair Construction	36	riser	350.00	12,600	21		
Roof Construction	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE				341,600	575	19%	
Walls Below Grade	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Walls Above Grade	307	m²	550.16	168,900	284		
Structural Walls Above Grade	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Windows & Entrances	132	m²	750.00	99,000	167		
Exterior Doors	6	lvs.	2,500.00	15,000	25		
Roof Covering	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Skylights	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Projections	45	m²	1,304.44	58,700	99		
PARTITIONS & DOORS				264,500	445	15%	
Fixed Partitions	823	m²	275.21	226,500	381		
Structural Partitions	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Movable Partitions	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Interior Doors	38	lvs.	1,000.00	38,000	64		
FINISHES				148,100	249	8%	
Floor Finishes	594	m²	94.95	56,400	95		
Ceiling Finishes	594	m²	105.05	62,400	105		
Wall Finishes	1,953	m²	15.00	29,300	49		
FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT				213,500	359	12%	
Metals	594	m²	30.81	18,300	31		
Millwork	594	m²	100.00	59,400	100		
Specialties	594	m²	35.02	20,800	35		
Equipment	594	m²	67.34	40,000	67		
Elevators	2	stop	37,500.00	75,000	126		
MECHANICAL	- • •			225,000	379	13%	
Plumbing & Drainage	594	m²	159.93	95,000	160		
Fire Protection	594	m²	45.12	26,800	45		
HVAC	594	m²	159.76	94,900	160		
Controls	594	m²	13.97	8,300	14	•••	
	50.4		57.07	153,900	259	9%	
Service & Distribution	594	m²	57.07	33,900	5/		
Lighting, Devices & Heating	594	m²	150.00	89,100	150		
Systems & Ancillaries	594	m²	52.02	30,900	52	100/	
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (BUILDING)				207,100	349	12%	
			10.0	70 155,700 51,400	262		
			3.0	/0 01,400	0/	40.001	
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)				\$1,763,600	\$2,969 /m ²	100%	

RTA as

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 1B TYPICAL FLOOR - 5 X 33' LOTS (SINGLE STAIR)

	Total	11	Average Unit Rate		ESTIMATED COST (\$)			
ELEMENI	Quantity	Unit			GFA =	594 n	n²	
					\$	\$/m²	%	
SITEWORK					0	0		
Site Preparation	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Hard Surfaces	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Improvements	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Landscaping	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Mechanical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Electrical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
ANCILLARY WORK					0	0		
Demolition	1	Allow	0.00		0	0		
Hazardous Materials Abatement (Allowance)		Excl.	0.00		0	0		
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (SITE)					0	0		
General Requirements (Div.1)				10.0%	0	0		
GC/CM Fee				3.0%	0	0		
CONTINGENCIES					264,500	445		
Design Pricing Contingency				15.0%	264,500	445		
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)				0.0%	0	0		
Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) -				0.0%	0	0		
Excluded				0.0%	0	0		
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST (Ex	cluding GS	T & So	ft Costs)		\$2,028,100	\$3,414 /m²		

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

RTA as

SUBSTRUCTURE 0 Standard Foundations 0 Not applicable N/A - Basement Excavation 0 Not applicable N/A - Basement Excavation 0 Not applicable N/A - STRUCTURE 209,900 3 Lowest Floor Construction 0 Not applicable N/A - Upper Floor Construction 0 Not applicable N/A - Upper Floor Construction 197,300 3 Wood floor including plywood sheathing and TJI 594 m² 332.20 197,300 38mm concrete topping 13mm acoustic mat 13mm acoustic mat 13mm plywood sheathing 9.5° T JI joists Acoustic insulation 5 12,600 12,600 Non-combustible stairs 1.1m wide 36 riser 350.00 12,600 Not applicable N/A - - 0 N/A - EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE 341,600 9 0	•	
Standard Foundations 0 Not applicable N/A - - Basement Excavation 0 0 Not applicable N/A - - STRUCTURE 209,900 - - STRUCTURE 209,900 - - Lowest Floor Construction 0 N/A - - Upper Floor Construction 197,300 197,300 - - Upper Floor Construction 197,300 - - - Upper Floor Construction 197,300 - - - Upper Floor Construction 197,300 -		0%
Not applicable N/A - - Basement Excavation 0 N/A - - Not applicable N/A - - - STRUCTURE 209,900 - - - Lowest Floor Construction 0 N/A - - Upper Floor Construction 0 N/A - - Upper Floor Construction 197,300 - - Wood floor including plywood sheathing and TJI 594 m² 332.20 197,300 38mm concrete topping 33mm acoustic mat - - - - 313mm plywood sheathing 9.5° T JI joists - - - - Acoustic insulation - - - - - Stair Construction N/A - -	•	
Basement Excavation 0 Not applicable N/A - - STRUCTURE 209,900		
N/A - - STRUCTURE 209,900	•	
STRUCTURE 209,900 Lowest Floor Construction 0 Not applicable N/A - - Upper Floor Construction 197,300		
Lowest Floor Construction 0 Not applicable N/A - - Upper Floor Construction 197,300 197,300 197,300 Wood floor including plywood sheathing and TJI 594 m² 332.20 197,300 38mm concrete topping 13mm acoustic mat 13mm plywood sheathing 9.5" TJI joists 4 Acoustic insulation 9.5" TJI joists 4 4 4 Acoustic insulation 12,600 12,600 12,600 Non-combustible stairs 1.1m wide 36 riser 350.00 12,600 Roof Construction 0 0 0 0 0 Not applicable N/A - - - 0 Walls Below Grade 0<	353	12%
Not applicable N/A - - Upper Floor Construction 197,300 197,300 Wood floor including plywood sheathing and TJI 594 m² 332.20 197,300 38mm concrete topping 13mm acoustic mat 13mm plywood sheathing 9.5" TJI joists 13mm plywood sheathing 9.5" TJI joists Acoustic insulation 12,600 Stair Construction 12,600 Non-combustible stairs 1.1m wide 36 riser 350.00 12,600 Roof Construction 0 N/A - - Kable Eleow Grade 0 0 0 0 Not applicable N/A - - -	-	
Upper Floor Construction 197,300 Wood floor including plywood sheathing and TJI 594 m² 332.20 197,300 38mm concrete topping		
Spectral Spectral Spectral Wood floor including plywood sheathing and TJI 594 m² 332.20 197,300 38mm concrete topping 13mm acoustic mat 13mm plywood sheathing 1 13mm plywood sheathing 1 9.5" TJI joists Acoustic insulation 12,600 12,600 Stair Construction 12,600 0 Non-combustible stairs 1.1m wide 36 riser 350.00 12,600 Roof Construction 0	332	
38mm concrete topping 13mm acoustic mat 13mm plywood sheathing 9.5" TJI joists Acoustic insulation Stair Construction Non-combustible stairs 1.1m wide 36 riser 350.00 12,600 Roof Construction 0 Not applicable N/A Valls Below Grade 0 Not applicable N/A Not applicable N/A Valls Below Grade 0 Not applicable N/A		
13mm acoustic mat 13mm plywood sheathing 9.5" TJI joists Acoustic insulation Stair Construction Stair Construction Non-combustible stairs 1.1m wide 36 riser 350.00 12,600 Roof Construction 0 Not applicable N/A Valls Below Grade 0 Not applicable N/A Value Below Grade 0 Not applicable N/A		
13mm plywood sheathing 9.5" TJI joists Acoustic insulation Stair Construction Non-combustible stairs 1.1m wide 36 riser 350.00 12,600 Roof Construction 0 Not applicable N/A - Valls Below Grade 0 Not applicable N/A -		
9.5 1J joists Acoustic insulation Stair Construction 12,600 Non-combustible stairs 1.1m wide 36 riser 350.00 12,600 Roof Construction 0 Not applicable N/A - - EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE 341,600 9 Walls Below Grade 0 0 Not applicable N/A - -		
Stair Construction 12,600 Non-combustible stairs 1.1m wide 36 riser 350.00 12,600 Roof Construction 0 0 Not applicable N/A - - EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE 341,600 9 Walls Below Grade 0 0 Not applicable N/A - -		
Stair Construction 12,600 Non-combustible stairs 1.1m wide 36 riser 350.00 12,600 Roof Construction 0 0 Not applicable N/A - - EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE 341,600 9 Walls Below Grade 0 0 Not applicable N/A - -	04	
Roof Construction 0 Not applicable N/A - - EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE 341,600 9 Walls Below Grade 0 0 Not applicable N/A -	21	
Roof Construction 0 Not applicable N/A - - EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE 341,600 9 Walls Below Grade 0 0 Not applicable N/A - -		
Not applicable N/A - - EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE 341,600 9 Walls Below Grade 0 Not applicable N/A - Utility of the opticable N/A -	•	
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE 341,600 Walls Below Grade 0 Not applicable N/A		
Walls Below Grade 0 Not applicable N/A -	575	19%
Not applicable N/A	•	
Walls Above Grade 168.900	284	
Exterior walls (Assume 3.25m high and 70% of total		
exterior wall area)		
Structural Walls Above Grade 0	-	
Included in Walls Above Grade Note		
Windows & Entrances 99,000	167	
Double glazed vinyl windows (Assume 30% of total		
exterior wall area)		
Exterior Doors 15,000	25	
Extra over windows for double glazed sliding doors to 6 no 2 500 00 15 000		
balconies		
Roof Covering 0	•	
Not applicable N/A		
Skylights	-	
Not applicable N/A		
	00	
Balconies 45 m ² 700 00 31 500	33	

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

RTA QS

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS				Gro	594	m²	
OPTION 1B TYPICAL FLOOR - 5 x 33' LOTS (SINGLE STAIR)					\$	\$/m²	
Guardrails	32	m	850.00	27,200			
PARTITIONS & DOORS					264,500	445	15%
					201,000		1070
Fixed Partitions					226,500	381	
Interior walls (P7.1)	449	m²	228.90	102,800			
16mm drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Interior walls (P7.2)	127	m²	261.50	33,200			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x6 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Interior walls (P7.3)	247	m²	366.40	90,500			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Structural Partitions					0	-	
Included in Fixed Partitions		Note	-	-	•		
Movable Partitions					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Interior Doors					38,000	64	
Hollow metal doors - single (Stairs)	2	no.	1,700.00	3,400			
Unit entry doors - single (45min FRR)	8	no.	1,700.00	13,600			
Hollow core wood doors - single (Bedrooms, etc.)	28	no.	750.00	21,000			
FINISHES					148.100	249	8%
					,		• / •
Floor Finishes					56,400	95	
Resilient tile flooring	594	m²	95.00	56,400			
Ceiling Finishes					62,400	105	
GWB ceilings	594	m²	105.00	62,400	•		
					20.200	40	
Paint to walls	1.953	m²	15.00	29.300	29,300	49	
	,			,			
FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT					213,500	359	12%
Metals					18.300	31	
Stair guardrails	13	m	650.00	8,500			
Stair handrails	13	m	250.00	3 300			

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

RTA as

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 1B TYPICAL FLOOR - 5 x 33' LOTS (SINGLE STAIR)			Gro	ss Floor Area: \$	594 \$/m²	m²
Allow for miscellaneous metals (By GFA)	, 594	m²	11.00	6.500			
				.,			
Millwork	504	m ²	100.00	50.400	59,400	100	
	594	III ⁻	100.00	59,400			
Specialties					20,800	35	
Allow for specialties (By GFA)	594	m²	35.00	20,800			
Equipment					40.000	67	
Allowance for appliances	8	set	5,000.00	40,000	,		
					75 000	400	
Elevators Stratcher-sized electric traction elevators	2	stop	37 500 00	75.000	/5,000	126	
	2	3100	07,000.00	10,000			
MECHANICAL					225,000	379	13%
Plumbing & Drainage					95,000	160	
Allow for plumbing & drainage (By GFA)	594	m²	160.00	95,000	,		
Fire Destantion					00.000	45	
Allow for fire protection (By GEA)	594	m²	40.00	23 800	20,800	40	
Sprinkler protection to landing	2	no.	1,500.00	3,000			
	50/	m ²	150 70	94 900	94,900	160	
	554		155.70	34,300			
Controls					8,300	14	
Allow for Controls (By GFA)	594	m²	14.00	8,300			
ELECTRICAL					153,900	259	9%
Service & Distribution					33,900	57	
Allow for service & distribution (By GFA)	594	m²	57.10	33,900			
Lighting Devices & Heating					80 100	150	
Allow for lighting (By GFA)	594	m²	150.00	89,100	03,100	150	
Systems & Ancillaries Allow for systems (By GEA)	59/	m ²	52.00	30,900	30,900	52	
	554		52.00	50,500			
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (BUILDING)					207,100	349	12%
General Requirements (Div.1)	10.0%			155,700			
	3.0%			51,400			
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)					\$1,763,600	\$2,969 /m ²	100%
SITEWORK					0		
Site Preparation & Civils		N/A	-		0	•	
		11/7	-	-			
Hard Surfaces					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

RTA QS

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS				G	ross Floor Area:	594	m²
OPTION 1B TYPICAL FLOOR - 5 x 33' LOTS (SINGLE ST	TAIR)				\$	\$/m²	
Improvements					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Lenderenian					٥		
Landscaping Not applicable		N/A	_		U	-	
		IN/A					
Mechanical Site Services					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
					•		
Electrical Site Services		NI/A			U	•	
		IN/A	-	-			
ANCILLARY WORK					0	-	
Demolition					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
					•		
Hazardous Materials Abatement					0	-	
Removal of hazardous materials (specialist hazmat		Excl.	-	-			
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS AND FEE (SITE)					0	-	
General Requirements (Div.1)	10.0%			-			
GC/CM Fee	3.0%			-			
CONTINGENCIES	45.00/			004 500	264,500	445	
Design Pricing Contingency	15.0%			264,500			
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)	0.0%			-			
	0.0%			-			

TOTAL ESTIMATED ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COST (Excluding GST & Soft Costs)

\$2,028,100 \$3,414 /m²

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023



Option 2A: Two-stair option with exterior steel stair

RTA QS

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 2A TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 X 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)

	Total	Unit	Average Unit		ESTIMATED COST (\$)			
	Quantity		Rate		GFA =	336 n	n²	
					\$	\$/m²	%	
SUBSTRUCTURE					0	0	0%	
Standard Foundations	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Basement Excavation	0	m³	0.00		0	0		
STRUCTURE					126,400	376	12%	
Lowest Floor Construction	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Upper Floor Construction	336	m²	332.14		111,600	332		
Stair Construction	18	riser	822.22		14,800	44		
Roof Construction	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE					233,500	695	23%	
Walls Below Grade	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Walls Above Grade	183	m²	550.27		100,700	300		
Structural Walls Above Grade	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Windows & Entrances	79	m²	750.63		59,300	176		
Exterior Doors	5	lvs.	2,340.00		11,700	35		
Roof Covering	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Skylights	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Projections	47	m²	1,314.89		61,800	184		
PARTITIONS & DOORS					138,300	412	13%	
Fixed Partitions	471	m²	256.05		120,600	359		
Structural Partitions	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Movable Partitions	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Interior Doors	18	lvs.	983.33		17,700	53		
FINISHES					84,100	250	8%	
Floor Finishes	336	m²	94.94		31,900	95		
Ceiling Finishes	336	m²	105.06		35,300	105		
Wall Finishes	1,125	m²	15.02		16,900	50		
FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT					123,200	367	12%	
Metals	336	m²	60.42		20,300	60		
Millwork	336	m²	100.00		33,600	100		
	336	m²	35.12		11,800	35		
Equipment	330	m²	59.52		20,000	60		
Elevators	1	stop	37,500.00		37,500	112	400/	
	220		400.40		118,900	354	12%	
Plumbing & Drainage	330	m²	160.12		53,800	160		
	330	m²	39.88		13,400	40		
HVAC Controlo	330	m²	139.88		47,000	140		
	330	m-	13.99		4,700	254	00/	
ELECTRICAL	226	m2	E0 20		80,000	234	ö %	
	200	[[] ⁻	52.30		17,000	JZ		
Lighting, Devices & Heating	226		150.00		20,400	150		
	330	10~	52.Uŏ		006,11	52	100/	
Conoral Poquiromonta (Div 1)			40	<u>م</u>		300	12%	
GC/CM Fee				3.0%	30,000	89		
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)					\$1,030,900	\$3,068 /m ²	100%	

RTA QS

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 2A TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 X 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)

	Total	11	Average Unit Rate		ESTIMATED COST (\$)			
ELEMENI	Quantity	Unit			GFA =	336 n	n²	
					\$	\$/m²	%	
SITEWORK					0	0		
Site Preparation	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Hard Surfaces	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Improvements	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Landscaping	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Mechanical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
Electrical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0		
ANCILLARY WORK					0	0		
Demolition	1	Allow	0.00		0	0		
Hazardous Materials Abatement (Allowance)		Excl.	0.00		0	0		
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (SITE)					0	0		
General Requirements (Div.1)				10.0%	0	0		
GC/CM Fee				3.0%	0	0		
CONTINGENCIES					154,600	460		
Design Pricing Contingency				15.0%	154,600	460		
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)				0.0%	0	0		
Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) -				0.0%	0	٥		
Excluded				0.0 /6	0	0		
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST (Ex	cluding GS	T & So	ft Costs)		\$1,185,500	\$3,528 /m²		
Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

RTA as

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS				Gros	Gross Floor Area:		m²
OPTION 2A TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 x 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)					\$	\$/m²	00/
SUBSTRUCTURE					0	-	0%
Standard Foundations					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Basement Excavation					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
STRUCTURE					126,400	376	12%
Lowest Floor Construction					0		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	•		
Han an Flager Construction					444.000	222	
Upper Floor Construction	226	m ²	222.20	111 600	111,600	332	
38mm concrete topping	330	111-	332.20	111,000			
13mm acoustic mat							
13mm plywood sheathing							
9.5" TJI ioists							
Acoustic insulation							
Stair Construction					14.800	44	
Wood stairs 1.1m wide	18	riser	275.00	5,000	,	••	
Exterior steel stairs 1.1m wide	18	riser	350.00	6,300			
Steel stair landings	5	m²	700.00	3,500			
Roof Construction					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	•		
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE					233,500	695	23%
					•		
Walls Below Grade		N1/A			0	•	
		N/A	-	-			
Walls Above Grade					100,700	300	
Exterior walls (Assume 3.25m high and 70% of total	183	m²	550.00	100,700			
Structural Walls Above Grade					0	•	
Included in Walls Above Grade		Note	-	-			
Windows & Entrances					59,300	176	
Double glazed vinyl windows (Assume 30% of total	70	m ²	750.00	50 200			
exterior wall area)	19	111	750.00	59,500			
Exterior Doors					11,700	35	
Extra over windows for double glazed sliding doors to	1	no	2 500 00	10.000			
balconies		no.	1 700 00	1 700			
ו וטווטיא ווופגמו מטטרא - אוושופ (בגנפווטר אמורא)	I	110.	1,700.00	1,700			
Roof Covering					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Skylights					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			



ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS				Gros	s Floor Area:	336	m²
OPTION 2A TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 x 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)					\$	\$/m²	
Projections					61,800	184	
Balconies	47	m²	700.00	32 900	01,000		
Guardrails	34	m	850.00	28,900			
PARTITIONS & DOORS					138,300	412	13%
Fixed Partitions					120,600	359	
Interior walls (P7.1)	289	m²	228.90	66,200			
16mm drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Interior walls (P7.2)	117	m²	261.50	30,600			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x6 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Interior walls (P7.3)	65	m²	366.40	23,800			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Structural Partitions					0	-	
Included in Fixed Partitions		Note	-	-			
Movable Partitions					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Interior Doors					17,700	53	
Hollow metal doors - single (Stairs)	1	no.	1,700.00	1,700			
Unit entry doors - single (20min FRR)	4	no.	1,550.00	6,200			
Hollow core wood doors - single (Bedrooms, etc.)	13	no.	750.00	9,800			
FINISHES					84,100	250	8%
Floor Finishes					31.900	95	
Resilient tile flooring	336	m²	95.00	31,900			
Ceiling Finishes					35,300	105	
GWB ceilings	336	m²	105.00	35,300			
Wall Finishes					16,900	50	
Paint to walls	1,125	m²	15.00	16,900			
FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT					123,200	367	12%



ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS				Gro	Gross Floor Area:		m²
OPTION 2A TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 x 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS)					\$	\$/m²	
Metals					20,300	60	
Stair guardrails	22	m	650.00	14,300			
Stair handrails	9	m	250.00	2,300			
Allow for miscellaneous metals (By GFA)	336	m²	11.00	3,700			
Millwork					33,600	100	
Allow for millwork (By GFA)	336	m²	100.00	33,600			
Creation					44 000	25	
Allow for specialties (By GEA)	336	m²	35.00	11.800	11,000		
				,			
Equipment					20,000	60	
Allowance for appliances	4	set	5,000.00	20,000			
Elevators					37.500	112	
Stretcher-sized electric traction elevators	1	stop	37,500.00	37,500	,		
MECHANICAL					118,900	354	12%
Plumbing & Drainage					53,800	160	
Allow for plumbing & drainage (By GFA)	336	m²	160.00	53,800	•		
Fire Protection	226	m ²	40.00	12 400	13,400	40	
Allow for fire protection (By GFA)	330	m-	40.00	13,400			
HVAC					47,000	140	
Allow for HVAC (By GFA)	336	m²	140.00	47,000			
Controls					4 700	11	
Allow for Controls (By GEA)	336	m²	14.00	4,700	4,700	14	
ELECTRICAL					85,500	254	8%
Service & Distribution					17 600	52	
Allow for service & distribution (By GEA)	336	m²	52 50	17 600	17,000	JZ	
			02.00	11,000			
Lighting, Devices & Heating					50,400	150	
Allow for lighting (By GFA)	336	m²	150.00	50,400			
Systems & Ancillaries					17.500	52	
Allow for systems (By GFA)	336	m²	52.00	17,500	,		
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (BUILDING)	10.0%			01.000	121,000	360	12%
GC/CM Fee	3.0%			30.000			
	0.070			00,000			
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)					\$1,030,900	\$3,068 /m²	100%
SITEWORK					0	•	
Site Properation & Civila					•		
Not applicable		N/A	<u> </u>	-	U	•	
		14/1					
Hard Surfaces					0	-	

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS				C	Gross Floor Area:	336	m²
OPTION 2A TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 x 33' LOTS (2 STAIRS))				\$	\$/m²	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Improvements					0		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	•		
Landscaping					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Mechanical Site Services					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Electrical Site Services					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
ANCILLARY WORK					0	•	
Demolition					0		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Hazardous Materials Abatement					0	-	
Removal of hazardous materials (specialist HazMat costing and survey required) - excluded		Excl.	-	-			
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS AND FEE (SITE)					0	-	
General Requirements (Div.1)	10.0%			-	-		
GC/CM Fee	3.0%			-			
CONTINGENCIES					154,600	460	
Design Pricing Contingency	15.0%			154,600			
Escalation Contingency (Excluded) Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) - Excluded	0.0%			-			
TOTAL ESTIMATED ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION CO	ST (Excluding	g GST & Soft C	Costs)		\$1,185,500	\$3,528 /m ²	



Option 2B: Single-stair block

RTA QS

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 2B TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 X 33' LOTS (SINGLE STAIR)

ELEMENT	Total	Unit	Average Unit		ESTIMATED) COST (\$)	
	Quantity	Unit	Rate		GFA =	325 n	1 ²
					\$	\$/m²	%
SUBSTRUCTURE					0	0	0%
Standard Foundations	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Basement Excavation	0	m ³	0.00		0	0	
STRUCTURE					114,300	352	11%
Lowest Floor Construction	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Upper Floor Construction	325	m²	332.31		108,000	332	
Stair Construction	18	riser	350.00		6,300	19	
Roof Construction	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE					231,800	713	23%
Walls Below Grade	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Walls Above Grade	183	m²	550.27		100,700	310	
Structural Walls Above Grade	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Windows & Entrances	79	m²	750.63		59,300	182	
Exterior Doors	4	lvs.	2,500.00		10,000	31	
Roof Covering	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Skylights	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Projections	47	m²	1,314.89		61,800	190	
PARTITIONS & DOORS					146,200	450	14%
Fixed Partitions	487	m²	258.11		125,700	387	
Structural Partitions	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Movable Partitions	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Interior Doors	21	lvs.	976.19		20,500	63	
FINISHES					82,400	254	8%
Floor Finishes	325	m²	95.08		30,900	95	
Ceiling Finishes	325	m²	104.92		34,100	105	
Wall Finishes	1,157	m²	15.04		17,400	54	
FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT					110,800	341	11%
Metals	325	m²	28.92		9,400	29	
Millwork	325	m²	100.00		32,500	100	
Specialties	325	m²	35.08		11,400	35	
Equipment	325	m²	61.54		20,000	62	
Elevators	1	stop	37,500.00		37,500	115	
MECHANICAL			400.00		122,600	377	12%
Plumbing & Drainage	325	m²	160.00		52,000	160	
Fire Protection	325	m²	44.62		14,500	45	
HVAC	325	m²	158.46		51,500	158	
Controls	325	m²	14.15		4,600	14	00/
	0.05	2	50.00		84,200	259	8%
Service & Distribution	325	m²	56.92		18,500	5/	
Lighting, Devices & Heating	325	m²	150.15		48,800	150	
Systems & Ancillaries	325	m²	52.00		16,900	52	4004
Canadal REQUIREMENTS & FEE (BUILDING)				10.00/	118,600	365	12%
				2.00/	89,200	2/4	
				3.0%	29,400	90	
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)					\$1,010,900	\$3,110 /m²	100%

RTA as

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 2B TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 X 33' LOTS (SINGLE STAIR)

	Total	11	Average Unit		ESTIMATE	ESTIMATED COST (\$)			
	Quantity	Unit	Rate		GFA =	325 n	1²		
					\$	\$/m²	%		
SITEWORK					0	0			
Site Preparation	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
Hard Surfaces	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
Improvements	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
Landscaping	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
Mechanical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
Electrical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
ANCILLARY WORK					0	0			
Demolition	1	Allow	0.00		0	0			
Hazardous Materials Abatement (Allowance)		Excl.	0.00		0	0			
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (SITE)					0	0			
General Requirements (Div.1)				10.0%	0	0			
GC/CM Fee				3.0%	0	0			
CONTINGENCIES					151,600	466			
Design Pricing Contingency				15.0%	151,600	466			
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)				0.0%	0	0			
Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) -				0.0%	0	0			
Excluded				0.0%	0	0			
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST (Ex	cluding GS	T & So	ft Costs)		\$1,162,500	\$3,577 /m²			

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

RTA as

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 2B TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 x 33' LOTS (SINGLE STA	lR)			Gr	ross Floor Area: \$	325 \$/m²	m²
SUBSTRUCTURE					0	•	0%
Standard Foundations					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Basement Excavation					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
STRUCTURE					114,300	352	11%
Lowest Floor Construction					0		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Upper Floor Construction					108.000	332	
Wood floor including plywood sheathing and TJI	325	m²	332.20	108,000	,		
38mm concrete topping							
13mm acoustic mat							
13mm plywood sheathing							
9.5" I JI Joists Acoustic insulation							
					0.000	40	
Stair Construction	18	ricor	350.00	6 300	6,300	19	
	10	11501	350.00	0,300			
Roof Construction					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE					231,800	713	23%
Walls Below Grade					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Walls Above Grade					100.700	310	
Exterior walls (Assume 3.25m high and 70% of total	102	m ²	550.00	100 700	100,100	010	
exterior wall area)	103	111-	550.00	100,700			
Structural Walls Above Grade					0	-	
Included in Walls Above Grade		Note	-	-	-		
Windows & Entrances					59,300	182	
Double glazed vinyl windows (Assume 30% of total	70	m ²	750.00	50 200	,		
exterior wall area)	19	111	750.00	59,500			
Exterior Doors					10,000	31	
Extra over windows for double glazed sliding doors to	1	20	2 500 00	10 000			
balconies	4	110.	2,300.00	10,000			
Roof Covering					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Skylights					0	_	
Not applicable		N/A	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Projections Balconies	/7	m ²	700.00	32 000	61,800	190	
Daloonies	47	111	100.00	52,900			

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

RTA as

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 2B TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 x 33' LOTS (SINGLE STAIF	R)			Gro	ss Floor Area: \$	325 \$/m²	m²
Guardrails	34	m	850.00	28,900			
				,			
PARTITIONS & DOORS					146,200	450	14%
Fixed Partitions					125,700	387	
Interior walls (P7.1)	312	m²	228.90	71,400			
16mm drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Interior walls (P7.2)	94	m²	261.50	24,600			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x6 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Interior walls (P7.3)	81	m²	366.40	29,700			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Structural Partitions					0	-	
Included in Fixed Partitions		Note	-	-			
Movela Destitions					٥		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	U	•	
The opprovide		14/7					
Interior Doors					20,500	63	
Hollow metal doors - single (Stairs)	1	no.	1,700.00	1,700			
Unit entry doors - single (45min FRR)	4	no.	1,700.00	6,800			
Hollow core wood doors - single (Bedrooms, etc.)	16	no.	750.00	12,000			
FINISHES					82,400	254	8%
					20.000	05	
Resilient tile flooring	325	m²	95.00	30,900	30,900	90	
	020		00.00	00,000			
Ceiling Finishes					34,100	105	
GWB ceilings	325	m²	105.00	34,100			
Wall Finishes					17,400	54	
Paint to walls	1,157	m²	15.00	17,400	,		
FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT					110 800	341	11%
						971	11/0
Metals	_		0=0.55	1.000	9,400	29	
Stair guardrails	7	m	650.00	4,200			
IStair handrails	(m	250.00	1.600			

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS				Gr	oss Floor Area:	325	m²
OPTION 2B TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 x 33' LOTS (SINGLE STAIR)					\$	\$/m²	
Allow for miscellaneous metals (By GFA)	325	m²	11.00	3,600			
Millional					22 500	400	
Allow for millwork (By GFA)	325	m²	100.00	32.500	32,300	100	
				- ,			
Specialties	205	2	25.00	11 400	11,400	35	
	325	m-	35.00	11,400			
Equipment					20,000	62	
Allowance for appliances	4	set	5,000.00	20,000			
Elevators					37.500	115	
Stretcher-sized electric traction elevators	1	stop	37,500.00	37,500	,	•	
					400.000		400/
					122,600	311	12%
Plumbing & Drainage					52,000	160	
Allow for plumbing & drainage (By GFA)	325	m²	160.00	52,000			
Fire Protection					14 500	15	
Allow for fire protection (By GFA)	325	m²	40.00	13,000	14,000	ŦJ	
Sprinkler protection to landing	1	no.	1,500.00	1,500			
HVAC					51 500	159	
Allow for HVAC (By GFA)	325	m²	158.50	51,500	51,500	100	
				- ,			
Controls	205		14.00	4 600	4,600	14	
	325	m-	14.00	4,600			
ELECTRICAL					84,200	259	8%
Service & Distribution					18,500	57	
Allow for service & distribution (By GFA)	325	m²	56.80	18,500	10,000		
Patrice De las Allesta					40.000	450	
Allow for lighting (By GEA)	325	m²	150 00	48 800	48,800	150	
	020		100.00	10,000			
Systems & Ancillaries		<u>^</u>	50.00	10.000	16,900	52	
Allow for systems (By GFA)	325	m²	52.00	16,900			
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (BUILDING)					118,600	365	12%
General Requirements (Div.1) 10	0.0%			89,200			
GC/CM Fee 3	.0%			29,400			
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)					\$1,010,900	\$3,110 /m²	100%
SITEWORK					0		
					U		
Site Preparation & Civils					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Hard Surfaces					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

RTA _{QS}

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS				G	ross Floor Area:	325	m²
OPTION 2B TYPICAL FLOOR - 2 x 33' LOTS (SINGLE S	STAIR)				\$	\$/m²	
Improvements					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Landscaping					0	_	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	v		
					•		
Mechanical Site Services		Ν/Λ			0	•	
		N/A	-	-			
Electrical Site Services					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
ANCILLARY WORK					0	•	
Demelitien					•		
Demolition Not applicable		Ν/Δ			U	•	
		IN/A		_			
Hazardous Materials Abatement					0	-	
Removal of hazardous materials (specialist HazMat costing and survey required) - excluded		Excl.	-	-			
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS AND FEE (SITE)					0		
General Requirements (Div.1)	10.0%			-			
GC/CM Fee	3.0%			-			
CONTINGENCIES					151,600	466	
Design Pricing Contingency	15.0%			151,600			
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)	0.0%			-			
Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) - Excluded	0.0%			-			
TOTAL ESTIMATED ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION CC)ST (Excluding	I GST & Soft (Costs)		\$1.162.500	\$3.577 /m ²	

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023



Option 3A: Two-stair option with exterior steel stair

RTA QS

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 3A TYPICAL FLOOR - 1 X 50' LOTS (2 STAIRS)

	Total	Unit	Average Unit	ESTIMATEI	D COST (\$)	
	Quantity	Unit	Rate	GFA =	288 m	1²
				\$	\$/m²	%
SUBSTRUCTURE				0	0	0%
Standard Foundations	0	m²	0.00	0	0	
Basement Excavation	0	m ³	0.00	0	0	
STRUCTURE				111,900	389	12%
Lowest Floor Construction	0	m²	0.00	0	0	
Upper Floor Construction	288	m²	332.29	95,700	332	
Stair Construction	18	riser	900.00	16,200	56	
Roof Construction	0	m²	0.00	0	0	
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE				190,300	661	21%
Walls Below Grade	0	m²	0.00	0	0	
Walls Above Grade	90	m²	1,172.22	105,500	366	
Structural Walls Above Grade	0	m²	0.00	0	0	
Windows & Entrances	22	m²	750.00	16,500	57	
Exterior Doors	5	lvs.	2,340.00	11,700	41	
Roof Covering	0	m²	0.00	0	0	
Skylights	0	m²	0.00	0	0	
Projections	48	m²	1,179.17	56,600	197	
PARTITIONS & DOORS				133,100	462	15%
Fixed Partitions	364	m²	319.23	116,200	403	
Structural Partitions	0	m²	0.00	0	0	
Movable Partitions	0	m²	0.00	0	0	
Interior Doors	17	lvs.	994.12	16,900	59	
FINISHES				72,000	250	8%
Floor Finishes	288	m²	95.14	27,400	95	
Ceiling Finishes	288	m ²	104.86	30,200	105	
Wall Finishes	958	m²	15.03	14,400	50	
FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT			<u> </u>	115,400	401	13%
Metals	288	m²	65.97	19,000	66	
Millwork	288	m²	100.00	28,800	100	
	288	m²	35.07	10,100	35	
	288	m-	69.44	20,000	69	
Elevators	1	stop	37,500.00	37,500	130	440/
MECHANICAL	200		400.07	101,900	304	11%
Fiumbing & Drainage	200	m²	100.07	40,100	160	
	200	m ²	120.02	11,500	40	
Controlo	200	111 ⁻	12 90	40,300	140	
	200		15.09	4,000	255	Q 0/,
Service & Distribution	288	m ²	52 /3	15,300	200	0 70
Lighting Dovides & Heating	200	m ²	150.00	13,100	150	
Systems & Appillarios	200	m ²	52.08	43,200	1 <u>00</u> 52	
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (RUILDING)	200	111	52.00	106 100	362	12%
General Requirements (Div 1)			10.0%	70,800	277	12/0
GC/CM Fee			3 N%	26 300	Q1	
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)				\$904,000	\$3,139 /m ²	100%

RTA QS

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 3A TYPICAL FLOOR - 1 X 50' LOTS (2 STAIRS)

EI EMENT	Total	11	Average Unit		ESTIMATE	ESTIMATED COST (\$)			
ELEMENI	Quantity	Unit	Rate		GFA =	288 r	n²		
					\$	\$/m²	%		
SITEWORK					0	0			
Site Preparation	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
Hard Surfaces	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
Improvements	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
Landscaping	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
Mechanical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
Electrical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0			
ANCILLARY WORK					0	0			
Demolition	1	Allow	0.00		0	0			
Hazardous Materials Abatement (Allowance)		Excl.	0.00		0	0			
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (SITE)					0	0			
General Requirements (Div.1)				10.0%	0	0			
GC/CM Fee				3.0%	0	0			
CONTINGENCIES					135,600	471			
Design Pricing Contingency				15.0%	135,600	471			
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)				0.0%	0	0			
Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) -				0.0%	0	0			
Excluded				0.0 /6	0	0			
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST (Ex	cluding GS	T & So	ft Costs)		\$1,039,600	\$3,610 /m²			

32

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 3A TYPICAL FLOOR - 1 x 50' LOTS (2 STAIRS)				Gro	ss Floor Area: \$	288 \$/m²	m²
SUBSTRUCTURE					0	•	0%
Standard Foundations					0		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Basement Excavation					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
STRUCTURE					111,900	389	12%
Lowest Floor Construction					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	Ū		
Upper Floor Construction					95 700	332	
Wood floor including plywood sheathing and TJI	288	m²	332.20	95,700	00,100	002	
38mm concrete topping							
13mm acoustic mat							
13mm plywood sheathing							
9.5" I JI joists							
Stair Construction					16,200	56	
Wood stairs 1.1m wide	18	riser	275.00	5,000			
Exterior steel stairs 1.1m wide	18	riser	350.00	6,300			
Steel stair landings	7	m²	700.00	4,900			
De ef Oeretwetter					•		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	U	•	
		14/7 (
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE					190,300	661	21%
Walls Below Grade					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Walls Above Grede					105 500	266	
Exterior wells	00	m ²	550.00	19 500	105,500	300	
CMU party walls	140	m²	400.00	56.000			
				,			
Structural Walls Above Grade					0	•	
Included in Walls Above Grade		Note	-	-			
Windows & Entrances					16,500	57	
Double glazed vinyl windows	22	m²	750.00	16,500			
					44 700		
Exterior Doors					11,700	41	
balconies	4	no.	2,500.00	10,000			
Hollow metal doors - single (Exterior stairs)	1	no.	1,700.00	1,700			
Roof Covering					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Skylights					0		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			



ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS				Gros	s Floor Area: s	288 \$/m ²	m²
					Ψ 56 600	407	
Projections Raiconies	48	m ²	700.00	33,600	30,000	197	
Guardrails	27	m	850.00	23.000			
PARTITIONS & DOORS					133,100	462	15%
Fixed Partitions					116.200	403	
Interior walls (P7.1)	169	m²	228.90	38,700			
16mm drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Interior walls (P7.2)	1/10	m ²	261 50	36 600			
16mm Type X drywall	140		201.50	-			
2v6 wood stud		Incl.					
Batt insulation		Incl	_	_			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Interior walls (P7.3)	55	m²	366.40	20,200			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Inci.	-	-			
Furring to CMU party walls	140	m²	148.20	20,700			
Structural Partitions					0	_	
Included in Fixed Partitions		Note	-	-	Ū		
Movable Partitions					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Interior Doors					16,900	59	
Hollow metal doors - single (Stairs)	1	no.	1,700.00	1,700			
Unit entry doors - single (20min FRR)	4	no.	1,550.00	6,200			
Hollow core wood doors - single (Bedrooms, etc.)	12	no.	750.00	9,000			
FINISHES					72,000	250	8%
					,		
Floor Finishes					27,400	95	
Resilient tile flooring	288	m²	95.00	27,400			
Ceiling Finishes					30,200	105	
GWB ceilings	288	m²	105.00	30,200	,		
Wall Finishes					14.400	50	
Paint to walls	958	m ²	15.00	14 400	14,400	- 50	
	300		10.00	יטיד,די			
FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT					115.400	401	13%



ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 3A TYPICAL FLOOR - 1 x 50' LOTS (2 STAIRS)				Gros	ss Floor Area: \$	288 \$/m²	m²
Metals					19,000	66	
Stair guardrails	22	m	650.00	14,300	-,		
Stair handrails	6	m	250.00	1,500			
Allow for miscellaneous metals (By GFA)	288	M²	11.00	3,200			
Millwork					28,800	100	
Allow for millwork (By GFA)	288	m²	100.00	28,800			
Specialties	000		05.00	10,100	10,100	35	
Allow for specialties (By GFA)	288	m²	35.00	10,100			
Equipment	4	aat	E 000 00	20.000	20,000	69	
Allowance for appliances	4	set	5,000.00	20,000			
Elevators Stretcher-sized electric traction elevators	1	ston	37 500 00	37 500	37,500	130	
		0.00	01,000.00	01,000			
MECHANICAL					101,900	354	11%
Plumbing & Drainage					46,100	160	
Allow for plumbing & drainage (By GFA)	288	m²	160.00	46,100			
Fire Protection					11,500	40	
Allow for fire protection (By GFA)	288	m²	40.00	11,500			
HVAC					40,300	140	
Allow for HVAC (By GFA)	288	m²	140.00	40,300			
Controls	000	2	44.00	1.000	4,000	14	
Allow for Controls (By GFA)	288	m²	14.00	4,000			
ELECTRICAL					73,300	255	8%
Service & Distribution					15,100	52	
Allow for service & distribution (By GFA)	288	m²	52.50	15,100			
Lighting, Devices & Heating			/		43,200	150	
Allow for lighting (By GFA)	288	m²	150.00	43,200			
Systems & Ancillaries	000		F2 00	15.000	15,000	52	
Allow for systems (By GFA)	288	m	52.00	15,000			
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (BUILDING)	10.0%			79,800	106,100	368	12%
GC/CM Fee	3.0%			26,300			
				·	* 004.000	*0 400 12	4000/
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)					\$904,000	\$3,139 /m²	100%
SITEWORK					0	-	
Site Preparation & Civils					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			

RTA _{QS}

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 3A TYPICAL FLOOR - 1 x 50' LOTS (2 STAIRS)				G	ross Floor Area: \$	288 \$/m²	m²
Hard Surfaces					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Improvemente					0		
Net applicable		NI/A			U	•	
		IN/A	-	-			
Landscaping					0		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Mechanical Site Services					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Flectrical Site Services					0		
Not applicable		N/A		-	U	-	
		10/1					
ANCILLARY WORK					0	-	
Demolition					0	_	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	v		
Hazardous Materials Abatement					0	•	
Removal of hazardous materials (specialist HazMat		Evol					
costing and survey required) - excluded		LAGI.					
					0		
General Requirements (Div 1)	10.0%			-	U	-	
GC/CM Fee	3.0%			-			
CONTINGENCIES					135,600	471	
Design Pricing Contingency	15.0%			135,600			
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)	0.0%			-			
Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) - Excluded	0.0%			-			
TOTAL ESTIMATED ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION COS	ST (Excluding	g GST & Soft	Costs)		\$1,039,600	\$3,610 /m ²	



Option 3B: Single-stair block

RTA QS

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 3B TYPICAL FLOOR - 1 X 50' LOTS (SINGLE STAIR)

ELEMENT	Total	Average Unit		ESTIMATE) COST (\$)	(\$)	
	Quantity	onit	Rate	GFA =	274 n	n²	
				\$	\$/m²	%	
SUBSTRUCTURE				0	0	0%	
Standard Foundations	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Basement Excavation	0	m ³	0.00	0	0		
STRUCTURE				97,300	355	11%	
Lowest Floor Construction	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Upper Floor Construction	274	m²	332.12	91,000	332		
Stair Construction	18	riser	350.00	6,300	23		
Roof Construction	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE				183,600	670	22%	
Walls Below Grade	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Walls Above Grade	70	m²	1,350.00	94,500	345		
Structural Walls Above Grade	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Windows & Entrances	23	m²	752.17	17,300	63		
Exterior Doors	4	lvs.	2,500.00	10,000	36		
Roof Covering	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Skylights	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Projections	53	m²	1,166.04	61,800	226		
PARTITIONS & DOORS				130,400	476	15%	
Fixed Partitions	361	m²	315.24	113,800	415		
Structural Partitions	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Movable Partitions	0	m²	0.00	0	0		
Interior Doors	17	lvs.	976.47	16,600	61		
FINISHES				68,800	251	8%	
Floor Finishes	274	m²	94.89	26,000	95		
Ceiling Finishes	274	m²	105.11	28,800	105		
Wall Finishes	932	m²	15.02	14,000	51		
FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT				98,300	359	12%	
Metals	274	m²	32.12	8,800	32		
Millwork	274	m²	100.00	27,400	100		
Specialties	274	m²	35.04	9,600	35		
Equipment	274	m²	54.74	15,000	55		
Elevators	1	stop	37,500.00	37,500	137		
MECHANICAL				103,600	378	12%	
Plumbing & Drainage	274	m²	159.85	43,800	160		
Fire Protection	274	m²	45.62	12,500	46		
HVAC	274	m²	158.76	43,500	159		
Controls	274	m²	13.87	3,800	14		
ELECTRICAL				70,900	259	8%	
Service & Distribution	274	m²	56.93	15,600	57		
Lighting, Devices & Heating	274	m²	150.00	41,100	150		
Systems & Ancillaries	274	m²	51.82	14,200	52		
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (BUILDING)				100,100	365	12%	
General Requirements (Div.1)			10.0%	75,300	275		
GC/CM Fee			3.0%	24,800	91		
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)				\$853,000	\$3,113 /m ²	100%	

RTA as

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) – November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS - OPTION 3B TYPICAL FLOOR - 1 X 50' LOTS (SINGLE STAIR)

	Total	11	Average Unit		ESTIMATE) COST (\$)	
	Quantity		Rate		GFA =	274 n	n²
					\$	\$/m²	%
SITEWORK					0	0	
Site Preparation	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Hard Surfaces	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Improvements	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Landscaping	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Mechanical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
Electrical Site Services	0	m²	0.00		0	0	
ANCILLARY WORK					0	0	
Demolition	1	Allow	0.00		0	0	
Hazardous Materials Abatement (Allowance)		Excl.	0.00		0	0	
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (SITE)					0	0	
General Requirements (Div.1)				10.0%	0	0	
GC/CM Fee				3.0%	0	0	
CONTINGENCIES					128,000	467	
Design Pricing Contingency				15.0%	128,000	467	
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)				0.0%	0	0	
Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) -				0.00/	٥	0	
Excluded				0.0%	0	0	
TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST (Ex	cluding GS	T & So	ft Costs)		\$981,000	\$3,580 /m²	

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

RTA as

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 3B TYPICAL FLOOR - 1 x 50' LOTS (SINGLE STA	IR)			Gros	ss Floor Area: \$	274 \$/m²	m²
SUBSTRUCTURE					0		0%
Standard Foundations					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Basement Excavation					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
STRUCTURE					97,300	355	11%
Lowest Floor Construction					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	•		
Upper Floor Construction					91 000	332	
Wood floor including plywood sheathing and TJI	274	m²	332.20	91,000	01,000	002	
38mm concrete topping				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
13mm acoustic mat							
13mm plywood sheathing							
9.5" TJI joists							
Acoustic insulation							
Stair Construction					6,300	23	
Non-combustible stairs 1.1m wide	18	riser	350.00	6,300			
Roof Construction					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE					183,600	670	22%
Walls Below Grade					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Walls Above Grade					94.500	345	
Exterior walls	70	m²	550.00	38.500	- ,		
CMU party walls	140	m²	400.00	56,000			
Structural Walls Above Grade					0	-	
Included in Walls Above Grade		Note	-	-			
Windows & Entrances					17.300	63	
Double glazed vinyl windows	23	m²	750.00	17,300	,		
Exterior Doors					10 000	36	
Extra over windows for double glazed sliding doors to					10,000		
balconies	4	no.	2,500.00	10,000			
Roof Covering					0		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	v		
Skylights					0		
Not applicable		N/A	-		v		
Projections					61,800	226	
Balconies	53	m²	700.00	37,100			
Guardrails	29	m	850.00	24,700			



ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS					Gross Floor Area:		m²
OPTION 3B TYPICAL FLOOR - 1 x 50' LOTS (SINGLE ST	TAIR)				\$	\$/m²	
PARTITIONS & DOORS					130,400	476	15%
					442 000	445	
Fixed Partitions	100		228.00	45 200	113,800	415	
16mm dr. well	190		220.90	45,300			
		INCI.	-	-			
2X4 WOOd Slud		INCI.	-	-			
		Inci.	-	-			
Tomm drywall		INCI.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		INCI.	-	-			
Interior walls (P7 2)	114	m²	261.50	29,800			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl	-	-			
2x6 wood stud		Incl	-	-			
Batt insulation		Incl	-	-			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl		-			
		inoi.					
Interior walls (P7.3)	49	m²	366.40	18,000			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
2x4 wood stud		Incl.	-	-			
Acoustic batt insulation		Incl.	-	-			
16mm Type X drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Finishing drywall		Incl.	-	-			
Furring to CMU party walls	140	m²	148.20	20,700			
					•		
Structural Partitions		Mata			U	•	
		nole	-	-			
Movable Partitions					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Interior Doors					16,600	61	
Hollow metal doors - single (Stairs)	1	no.	1,700.00	1,700			
Unit entry doors - single (45min FRR)	3	no.	1,700.00	5,100			
Hollow core wood doors - single (Bedrooms, etc.)	13	no.	750.00	9,800			
EINICHES					60 000	254	00/
FINISHES					00,000	231	0 /0
Floor Finishes					26.000	95	
Resilient tile flooring	274	m²	95.00	26,000			
Ceiling Finishes					28,800	105	
GWB ceilings	274	m²	105.00	28,800			
Well Einishee					44.000	EA	
Paint to walls	030	m ²	15.00	14 000	14,000	51	
	932	111	15.00	14,000			
FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT					98,300	359	12%
Metals					8,800	32	
Stair guardrails	7	m	650.00	4,200			

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 3B TYPICAL ELOOP - 1 x 50' LOTS (SINGLE STAIR	5)			Gros	ss Floor Area: \$	274 \$/m²	m²
	-				Ŷ	φ/11	
Stair handrails	7	m 2	250.00	1,600			
Allow for miscellaneous metals (By GFA)	214	111-	11.00	3,000			
Millwork					27,400	100	
Allow for millwork (By GFA)	274	m²	100.00	27,400			
Specialties	274	m ²	35.00	9,600	9,600	35	
	214	111	55.00	9,000			
Equipment					15,000	55	
Allowance for appliances	3	set	5,000.00	15,000			
Elevatore					27 500	407	
Stretcher-sized electric traction elevators	1	stop	37 500 00	37 500	37,300	19/	
	•	0.00	01,000.00	01,000			
MECHANICAL					103,600	378	12%
Plumbing & Drainage					/3 800	160	
Allow for plumbing & drainage (By GFA)	274	m²	160.00	43.800	43,000	100	
Fire Protection					12,500	46	
Allow for fire protection (By GFA)	274	m²	40.00	11,000			
Sprinkler protection to landing	1	no.	1,500.00	1,500			
HVAC					43 500	159	
Allow for HVAC (By GFA)	274	m²	158.60	43,500	40,000	100	
Controls					3,800	14	
Allow for Controls (By GFA)	274	m²	14.00	3,800			
FI ECTRICAL					70,900	259	8%
					. 0,000		
Service & Distribution					15,600	57	
Allow for service & distribution (By GFA)	274	m²	56.80	15,600			
Lighting Devices & Heating					44 400	450	
Allow for lighting (By GEA)	274	m²	150.00	41 100	41,100	100	
				,			
Systems & Ancillaries					14,200	52	
Allow for systems (By GFA)	274	m²	52.00	14,200			
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS & FEE (BUILDING)					100 100	365	12%
General Requirements (Div.1)	10.0%			75.300	100,100	505	12 /0
GC/CM Fee	3.0%			24,800			
NET BUILDING COST (EXCL. CONTINGENCIES)					\$853,000	\$3,113 /m²	100%
SITEWORK					0	•	
Site Prenaration & Civils					0		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	V		
Hard Surfaces					0	-	
INot applicable		N/A	-	-			

Class D (Concept Design) Construction Cost Estimate (Rev.1) - November 9, 2023

ELEMENTAL COST ANALYSIS OPTION 3B TYPICAL FLOOR - 1 x 50' LOTS (SINGLE 3	STAIR)				Gross Floor Area: \$	274 \$/m²	m²
Improvemente					٥		
Not applicable		N/A	-	-	U	=	
		11/7 1					
Landscaping					0	-	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Mechanical Site Services					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Electrical Site Services		NI/A			0	•	
		N/A	-	-			
ANCILLARY WORK					0	•	
Demolition					0	•	
Not applicable		N/A	-	-			
Hazardous Materials Abatement					0	•	
Removal of hazardous materials (specialist HazMat		Excl.	-	-			
costing and survey required) - excluded							
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS AND FEE (SITE)					0		
General Requirements (Div.1)	10.0%			-			
GC/CM Fee	3.0%			-			
CONTINGENCIES					128,000	467	
Design Pricing Contingency	15.0%			128,000			
Escalation Contingency (Excluded)	0.0%			-			
Construction Contingency (Owners CO's) - Excluded	0.0%			-			
TOTAL ESTIMATED ESCALATED CONSTRUCTION C	OST (Excluding	GST & So	ft Costs)		\$981,000	\$3,580 /m ²	